Volume 5

Pages 369 - 538

NO. CR 16-00440 WHA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.)

YEVGENIY ALEKSANDROVICH NIKULIN,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Tuesday, July 7, 2020

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

DAVID L. ANDERSON

United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: KATHERINE L. WAWRZNIAK

MICHELLE J. KANE

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For Defendant:

LAW OFFICE OF ADAM G. GASNER

345 Franklin Street

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: ADAM GASNER

VALERY NECHAY ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Interpreters: Maria Entchevitch and Marina Brodskaya

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR

United States Official Court Reporter

INDE

Tuesday, July 7, 2020 - Volume 5

GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
ROMANENKO, ANDRE (RECALLED)		
(PREVIOUSLY SWORN)	397	5
Cross-Examination by Ms. Nechay	397	5
Redirect Examination by Ms. Kane	408	5
MILLER, JEFFREY		
(SWORN)	410	5
Direct Examination by Ms. Kane	411	5

EXHIBITS

TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	EVID	VOL.
3A		477	5
3B		477	5
3E		477	5
5		417	5
6		489	5
14		473	5
17		527	5
17A		527	5
19A		488	5
26B		421	5
35		473	5
38		469	5
41		473	5
44		483	5
44A		483	5

I N D E X

EXHIBITS

<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	<u>EVID</u>	VOL.
45		483	5
47		473	5
48		517	5
52		473	5
54		473	5
62B		473	5
62A		493	5
85		451	5
85A		451	5
86		461	5
88		504	5
88A		504	5
89		378	5
89A		378	5
89		505	5
89A		505	5
90		504	5
90A		504	5
113		485	5
117		473	5
118		501	5

I N D E X

EXHIBITS

TRIAL EXHIBITS	<u>IDEN</u>	EVID	VOL.
119		501	5
125		473	5
135		504	5
135A		504	5
136		504	5
136A		504	5

Tuesday - July 7, 2020 1 8:27 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 (Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:) 4 5 THE COURT: Let's come to order. For the record, this is USA versus Nikulin. Counsel, are 6 7 all present. The Defendant is present. The jury is not present. Interpreters are present. 8 So we will get started. I got an e-mail from Mr. Gasner. 9 You said you had something to raise, so why don't we just turn 10 11 immediately to you. By the way, am I coming through? It doesn't sound like 12 13 you can hear me. MR. GASNER: I am -- I can hear you. I'm not sure 14 15 whether it is through the microphone, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: You know, Tracy, my microphone is not on. 17 One, two, three, hello? Is that better? 18 Marla, did you get all my initial remarks? COURT REPORTER: I did. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Mr. Gasner. 20 MR. GASNER: Good morning, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Good morning. 23 MR. GASNER: Yes, there were two issues, maybe three. I just wanted to flag them with the Court. One was with regard 24 to the translator's testimony. We are beginning with him again 25

today on cross. And I will let Ms. Nechay address the substance but I want to flag the issue for the Court.

There were a number of it looks like exhibits that were used with this witness, but it appears the U.S. Attorney's Office is deferring admitting those until after the witness is no longer testifying. And we want to discuss the admissibility of those because I think -- otherwise, this witness will be subject to recall because we might want to use those exhibits during the course of the cross-examination. It affects the scope of the cross-examination.

So I think the Court noted yesterday that these exhibits were being talked about but weren't admitted.

So we think for the purpose of cross, we would like to know from the Government whether or not they are going to be admitted under a party admission or otherwise so that we can go ahead and use those more freely during the cross-examination of this witness.

THE COURT: Which exhibit numbers are you speaking of?

MS. NECHAY: Your Honor, specifically I'm speaking of

Exhibit 89.

THE COURT: 89.

MS. NECHAY: Really, that's the main exhibit.

THE COURT: Is it 89 or 89A?

MS. NECHAY: 89.

THE COURT: Let's -- I think that's a reasonable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
request. Does the Government intend to try to offer that?
        MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor. We do intend to offer
that into evidence.
                    Well, the -- but what -- they ought to be
         THE COURT:
allowed to use that -- are you going to oppose its admission?
        MS. NECHAY: I don't believe I have grounds to oppose
it, Your Honor; but it does put us in a little bit of a bind
because essentially we would be then -- the Defense would be
introducing some prejudicial statements about Mr. Nikulin's --
the fact that he is in jail because the word that is discussed
that is at issue here is -- as you recall, Your Honor -- is
"hack" and whether it means to break or to hack.
     And in the context of the exhibit and the translation that
is provided, it was a phone call that Mr. Nikulin made while he
was in custody. And he -- there is a statement that the
Government is claiming is "I want to hack the prison.
rules here are stupid."
     And so, of course, the discussion inherently about what
this word means calls into question the background of
Mr. Nikulin's confinement.
         THE COURT: Could someone hand to me the Exhibit 89?
         MS. KANE:
                   Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: So I can focus on this exact paragraph.
forgot my gloves but --
         MS. KANE: Your Honor, if I can just address the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Government's intention for this exhibit was to offer it into
evidence while Special Agent Miller is testifying because he
can lay the foundation that it is, in fact, the Defendant's
voice on the recording.
     So we do intend to offer it into evidence, and we don't
object to the Defense cross-examining the translator regarding
this exhibit.
         THE COURT: Who are the people speaking here? Who is
Zhenya and who is Anya?
                    Zhenya is the Defendant and Anya is a woman
         MS. KANE:
he speaks to regularly.
     And, Your Honor, the Defense has not objected to the
admissibility of the call. They simply objected to the
translation of a particular word is my understanding.
                    (Pause in proceedings.)
         THE COURT:
                    Well, let's make sure -- I understand --
from the Defense point of view on Number 89, are you objecting
to its admission?
         MS. NECHAY: No, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: All right. So what is the problem?
         MS. NECHAY:
                      I would like to thoroughly cross-examine
the translator on the meaning of the word that here is
referenced as "hack."
         THE COURT: Well, fine.
         MS. NECHAY:
                      Certainly.
```

```
I don't have a problem with that, of
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
     course.
                          I just wanted to flag --
              MS. NECHAY:
 3
              THE COURT:
                          They can do that.
 4
 5
                         Your Honor, that is what we anticipated and
              MS. KANE:
 6
     is one of the reasons we called this translator to testify.
 7
          Perhaps, to solve the logistical problem, at the beginning
     of the translator's time on the stand, the Government could
 8
     offer Exhibit 89 into evidence because -- with the agreement of
 9
     the Defense, and then they can cross-examine him on it.
10
11
              THE COURT: Or I could just say to the jury when
     everyone is here that between last night and today, the
12
     Government has offered 89. I have admitted it into evidence
13
     and that -- that will be one of the things that the Defense
14
15
     cross-examines on. Is that -- does that set it up for you?
16
              MS. NECHAY: That does, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Then that's what I will do.
              MS. KANE: Just to clarify 89, is a translation of the
18
19
     call and the call is at 89A.
20
                          Is what?
              THE COURT:
                         The actual audio of the call in Russian is
21
              MS. KANE:
     at Exhibit 89A.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
                         So they should both come in together.
24
              MS. KANE:
25
              THE COURT: Do you agree with that? It seems like
```

that is right. 1 MS. NECHAY: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Done. 3 (Trial Exhibits 89 and 89A received in evidence.) 4 5 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. GASNER: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 6 And then the second issue that the Defense wants to raise 7 with the Court is I have three FBI Most Wanted posters related 8 to alleged co-conspirators in this case. I believe they are 9 self-authenticating documents. I have showed those to the 10 11 Government just yesterday as I found them yesterday. And I would like to use them during cross-examination. 12 May I show to the Court what I'm referring to? 13 THE COURT: 14 Sure. 15 (Pause in proceedings.) 16 THE COURT: I want to go back to 89 for a minute. 17 Where was he being held in November 19th, 2018? MS. KANE: Your Honor, I believe he was at Santa Rita 18 at that time. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So now I'm looking at FBI -- wanted by the FBI, 21 22 Alexsey Belan. I have heard that name. Dmitry Alexandrovich 23 Dokuchaev. Nice looking quy. Looks like he could work in Silicon Valley. And Evgeniy Mikhailovich Bogachev, a smiling 24 25 face.

Any problem with this?

MS. KANE: Your Honor, I think we can put the self-authentication issue aside. That's the least of the problems with these.

These are hearsay, and they are totally irrelevant to the trial that we are conducting.

THE COURT: Well, what -- I have heard that name Belan.

MS. KANE: So the wanted posters that the Defense is trying to admit address a -- an indictment released in 2017 after the Defendant was already indicted and in custody and describes a conspiracy that began in 2014 after all of the criminal conduct that is alleged in this case.

So there is no relevance to the facts that are at issue here for those two; that is the Belan and the Dokuchaev wanted posters.

THE COURT: Belan.

MS. KANE: Belan or Belan, yes.

THE COURT: Well, Belan says -- you are incorrect about the dates. It says between January of 2012 and April of 2013 Belan is alleged to have intruded the computer networks of three major U.S. based e-commerce companies in Nevada and California.

He is alleged to have stolen their data -- user databases, et cetera, et cetera.

MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I was getting to that. I was addressing the overlap between the Belan and the Dokuchaev posters which allege a conspiracy between the two of them that began in 2014, and I believe that's what the Defense intends to ask about. And that is the part that is entirely irrelevant to this case. It has no overlap whatsoever with the criminal conduct.

In addition, the Belan poster describes an earlier indictment against Belan that does not name Dokuchaev, and that is what the Court has just described.

THE COURT: The one about January 2012?

MS. KANE: That's the one I'm talking about. That is also irrelevant to this case. It doesn't address any of the conduct -- the companies that are at issue here. There is no allegation regarding Belan hacking Evernote in this case.

So there is no -- there is no overlap, again, between the statements in that wanted poster and the allegations in this case.

Moreover, those statements are totally hearsay; and there is no hearsay exception that allows the admission of a wanted poster.

THE COURT: Well, that's a -- it's a statement by the FBI, and the witness who is going to be on the stand is an FBI agent. What is he going to do, say that the FBI's own wanted poster is incorrect?

```
Well, that's not the issue, Your Honor.
                                                                   Ιf
 1
              MS. KANE:
     they wish to cross-examine Special Agent Miller regarding his
 2
     personal knowledge of a case, we can address the admissibility
 3
     or not of those statements.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Isn't he coming in here as some kind of an
 5
     expert?
 6
              MS. KANE: He will be testifying in part as an expert
 7
     regarding the market for stolen credentials.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Well, I will have to see where -- I have a
 9
     feeling the Government is going to overreach with Agent Miller
10
11
     and try to convict the Defendant based on opinions -- not
     facts, but opinions that are proffered by Miller based on his,
12
13
     quote, expertise.
                         I have got to wait and listen to it. But
14
          I don't know.
15
     if he purports to be an expert, then this kind of thing is
16
     perfectly usable except for 403. 403 -- but it is not a
17
     hearsay problem. It is a 403 problem.
          So you have to be very careful how he proceeds if he
18
19
     purports to be an expert. Experts get cross-examined on things
20
     like this all the time.
21
```

Now, I don't know if it's true or not that he is completely -- he is charged with something totally different.

Do you have the indictments handy that would show that Belan was charged by -- in our District with something immaterial to our case?

22

23

24

25

MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, I could get copies of the indictments. But that's not what the Defense is seeking to admit. And that's part of the issue here. They are seeking to admit just these wanted posters that have a summary of the charges.

THE COURT: Yes, but if he is going to testify as an expert, maybe this kind of hearsay is permissible. I don't know. I have to listen to his testimony and see how it unfolds.

But, for example, I have a feeling that he is going to try to say, your witness, that the Defendant is the guy who has that same first name. And is that personal knowledge or is that an opinion?

MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm not sure exactly what you are referring to.

THE COURT: So far there is no evidence in the case that shows that this Defendant did it. There is evidence in the case that somebody in Russia did it, and there is evidence that he was at a meeting where they talked about an internet cafe; but there is no evidence that this Defendant is the one that broke in, is there?

Maybe -- I'm not -- I'm not saying -- I should say it is hard for me to see the evidence. Possibly it is hidden there in all the Morse code. So I feel like I got to wait and hear your closing argument on that. But my thought is that you are

saving Agent Miller to be the overarching expert to put it all together for the jury. And within reason, that's okay, I guess if he is really an expert.

But if he is an expert and not a percipient witness, then experts get cross-examined with things like treatises all the

Wanted poster there. I feel like that may be okay.

Treatise this.

time.

However, I will warn the Defense on this. Under Rule 403, I don't think you should be getting into sideshows about some other indictment that has very little to do with our case.

Treatise that. Wanted poster here.

MR. GASNER: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: I just don't -- honestly, I don't see how this helps you unless you are trying to confuse the jury.

MR. GASNER: No, Your Honor. The issue -- one of the issues is that, for example, Alexsey Belan is listed on their chart in this case as other relevant people. We believe that will there are other Russian hackers that are responsible for this hack including any of the other relevant people on that chart.

Alexsey Belan, for example, has been communicated with, and there is evidence that may be -- maybe we can, maybe we can't get out -- with Mr. Dokuchaev who is an FSB agent; okay.

THE COURT: He is what?

MR. GASNER: He works for Russian Intelligence according to our information; okay.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GASNER: And the connection with him and Belan and then their purported connection -- the Government's purported connection Mr. Belan to Mr. Nikulin makes me believe that I have a right to inquire with regard to the relationship of these other relevant people, their relationship to Russian Intelligence and their capability and ability to purport -- excuse me -- to commit these hacks. There are other people, not necessarily co-conspirators that are certainly responsible.

And then if you look at the other -- so, first, I addressed Belan and his relevance. Then I addressed Dokuchaev and his relevance.

Turning to Evgeniy Bogachev, this guy is probably the number one Russian hacker in the world. It is widely known. He has got the same first name as the person that the Government has been claiming is Mr. Nikulin.

They are calling -- the name is at issue. Yevgeniy

Evgeniy. We heard this from the translator today -- I mean

yesterday -- excuse me -- that Evgeniy is a diminutive of

Yevgeniy, also a nickname of Zhenya. We heard all of this

yesterday.

And these are relevant other people that the Defense believes very likely are the actual culprits in this case.

Just because they got this guy out of Russia and they think that they want to point the finger at him, that's fine. But

I believe the Defense has a right to inquire with regard to names that are relevant in this case, with regard to individuals that are listed in this case, and with regard to their connection to Russian Intelligence because it is the Defense --

THE COURT: What good does it do you to show that there are other people in Russia -- which everybody knows is full of hackers -- Lithuania, Astonia, it is like hack city over there. There are plenty of people over who know how to hack.

MR. GASNER: That's true.

THE COURT: What good does it do you if the Government catches one guy who could do it and maybe did do it? So your point is maybe someone else could have done it. That doesn't prove much. Everybody in the world knows it is full of hackers over there.

MR. GASNER: I understand that maybe it is common knowledge; and if the Court wants to take judicial notice of that and we can alert the jury to that fact. But unfortunately I'm dealt with the cards that I'm dealt with. And I have to deal with the rules of evidence, and I have to get in evidence so that I can properly argue it over an objection.

And the reality here is that I believe the Russian

Intelligence and the Russian government is complicit in the hacks of United States companies. I believe our right to

explore that fact -- because I believe that the Russian
government is providing misinformation to the United States
under Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties because they have an
interest in keeping the United States government from
understanding the truth with regard to these hacks. The
Russian Intelligence, Russian government has an interest in

that.

And so, therefore, when we have named suspects that have admitted to be working with the FSB, when we have hackers with the same first name or same nickname that this translator says is the name that the Government thinks is responsible and when we have Mr. Belan, who is listed as an other relevant person in this case, I think the jury has the right to know that and the Defense has the right to explore that under cross-examination in support of our defense.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to make a final ruling yet. I'm going to wait a minute. But I do think there is -- I see one narrow legitimate line of cross and maybe others, but it is true -- I have heard in this case before that this first name is common in Russia, Yevgeniy. How do you say it?

MS. KANE: Your Honor, I believe that was the statement of Counsel; but, yes, I think --

THE COURT: All right. But let's say that it is admitted. I think it was admitted by someone here; that that

```
was a common name in Russia. And even more so, if it is not --
 1
     let's say it is not common, it is even more powerful. So the
 2
     FBI agent is on the stand and Mr. Gasner says: Okay,
 3
     Mr. Agent, is it true or not that Yevgeniy is a common name in
 4
 5
     Russia?
          So he finally says: I don't know. I don't know.
 6
          Then he says: Well, isn't it true that you have heard of
 7
     Mr. Dokuchaev in Russia and his first name is Yevgeniy?
 8
          And he has to admit: Yes, I have heard of that quy.
 9
          And he is like the world's premier hacker?
10
11
          Well, he is a hacker. I don't know if he is premier, but
     he is a hacker, okay.
12
          But his first name is Yevgeniy. And he is a hacker and he
13
     is in Russia; right?
14
                And, in fact, the FBI has all-points bulletin out to
15
16
     try to get him?
17
          Yes, yes, yes.
          And so why isn't it perfectly permissible for the
18
     cross-examination to show that that Yevgeniy might be somebody
19
     else?
20
                         Your Honor, I think there are two things I
21
              MS. KANE:
     need to address to make sure the Court is very clear on what is
22
23
     going on and what is before the Court.
```

With regard to Mr. Bogachev, the wanted poster in front of

the Court right now does not allege that Mr. Bogachev is a

24

25

hacker. It does allege a violation of Title 18, Section 1030.

But the actual statements in that wanted poster is that Mr. Bogachev was the administrator in a conspiracy that involved sending spam and malicious software to harvest credentials and steal money from people's bank accounts. It couldn't be more different than what the Defendant is alleged to have done.

So with this -- for this poster and the other two, the Court is exactly right. That Rule 403 shows that this is unduly prejudicial. It is going to confuse the jury. It is going to inject issues that shouldn't be issues in this trial. This is simply pointing to one other person on this earth who may or may not have committed -- violated the same statute that the Defendant violated in a totally different way and saying couldn't it have been him?

And that is not something that Defendants are generally permitted to do; to just list a roster. You know, a bank robber can't come in and say: This guy robbed a bank once.

Couldn't it have been him? What about this guy?

That is not how evidence works.

MR. GASNER: Oh, yes it can.

THE COURT: He has got the same first name and he is a hacker, that's a lot better than just "some other guy robbed a bank once."

MS. KANE: Again, I want to be clear there is no

allegation in that document -- that doesn't say "hacker."

THE COURT: It doesn't use the word "hacker," but it comes pretty close. The software was used to capture bank account numbers, passwords, personal ID numbers, other information necessary to log on to online banking accounts while Bogachev knowingly acted in a role as administrator. Others involved in the scheme conspired to distribute spam and phishing e-mails.

You know, I think that the jury could reasonably conclude that somebody capable of doing that kind of stuff is capable of doing what is alleged against our Defendant.

MS. KANE: Although it says: Others distributed the spam and the malicious links and then Bogachev was an administrator. And this is why this is so confusing to introduce into this trial.

THE COURT: Well, you have got an expert guy. He can explain all that.

MS. KANE: That's the second issue I wanted to address, Your Honor, which is that Special Agent Miller's expert testimony is very limited in this case.

We will stop during his testimony. We will set aside the portion of his testimony that is expert testimony. And the testimony he will give as an expert is regarding the market for stolen credentials and the ways in which individuals interact in that market; the way that they sell and buy stolen

credentials. And he will testify as to that market. And then that will be the end of his expert testimony.

And we will again set that -- set off the end of that, and we will then proceed with his testimony as a percipient witness in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe you got it -- maybe that will work and maybe it won't; but sometimes I know the Government will -- will confuse those two things and what you call percipient is really expert.

By the way, on Bogachev at the top in big red letters it says: Conspiracy to participate in racketeering activity, bank fraud, conspiracy to violate the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, conspiracy to violate the Identity Theft and Assumption

Deterrence Act, aggravated identity theft, conspiracy computer fraud, wire fraud, money laundering, con -- I mean, a fair number of these things are exactly what is alleged in our case.

MS. KANE: They are the same statutes, Your Honor. To the extent that we have facts from that poster, the facts are very different.

But --

THE COURT: All right. Maybe they are different but I don't know. I'm not going to rule in or out anything yet. I think it is useful for me to be up to speed. So I'm not saying you can do it yet, Mr. Gasner; but I'm not ruling it out yet.

And if I was you, I would proceed with your best stuff

```
first so that I can see that you are not wasting time. And maybe then I will let you go even farther and deeper into it, but I don't know the answer yet.
```

I will also say that the idea that somehow because the government in Russia is trying to hack into the USA -- and we all know that they did -- is so farfetched that it has anything to do with this case.

I don't know. That one I'm not sold on. But the name, the confusingly similar name and the fact that the FBI itself is hot to get this guy, yeah -- and there is an issue in this case of who done it. So that -- it is not to me so farfetched to say how do we know we got the right guy. Maybe this other guy is the right one?

And you got an FBI -- he is a skilled witness. He will probably be able to blow Mr. Gasner out of the water and explain it all to the jury beautifully, and so there won't be any problem.

So I'm not sure where this is going to wind up. I want to hold onto these. Can I or are these your only copy?

MR. GASNER: Those are for you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Anything else?

MR. GASNER: Pardon me. Thank you. A couple of things. One, I do believe that the expert disclosure for Agent Miller is broader than the recitation by the Government just now. And so I guess we will have to listen to that carefully.

And I'm also concerned that when the testimony turns to lay testimony that there is going to be the -- the elicitation of hearsay and other types of evidence through his testimony that an expert would typically do. And I'm going to be objecting to that.

THE COURT: If you are doing it on a percipient basis, no hearsay. That's why I think you are going to have to do most of this by expert.

So be aware of that. If this is hearsay, then you have a problem if he is trying to put out hearsay to the jury as a percipient fact witness. We got to get going here.

MR. GASNER: Nothing further from the Defense.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, on that note, when Special Agent Miller does testify, we have proposed jointly the model jury instruction 4.14 regarding opinion evidence by an expert. And I don't know if the Court wants to give that instruction at the time of the testimony.

THE COURT: Well, I -- I can -- I had in mind -- I don't know what that one says, but I usually let the jury know that opinions are opinions and facts are facts. And so you -- by the way, you don't have to -- under the Federal Rules, you don't have to tender somebody as an expert. If you -- if there is a dispute over it, then the main thing is you have to -- if you qualify him as an expert, then you can ask questions within that realm. But I don't like it whenever you want me to

certify to the jury that he is an expert. So don't ask me to 1 do that. 2 MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: That is just a gimmick to make it sound 4 like he is God, and he is just another ordinary witness. 5 6 I'm not going to -- I will just -- I won't -- please just --7 but what you could do is say: I'm now coming to his expert portion or I'm now on his fact portion. That part I would --8 or just call it opinion. Don't call it expert. Just call it 9 opinion. It is not expert. It is opinion. Okay. But I will 10 11 allow it because of his special expertise, training or experience. Okay. What is next? We got to get going here. 12 13 Are we ready? MR. GASNER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: We made a mistake yesterday. I have been properly reprimanded. I did not, what is the word, wipe 17 down -- we did not wipe down the witness stand between 18 19 witnesses. And we -- I see we have got at least two witnesses 20 today; right? 21 MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor. 22 Tracy, how are we supposed to do it? THE COURT: 23 asked that there be a -- what do they call them -- a porter In between the two witnesses can you do your thing with 24 25 the porter job?

```
1
              PORTER:
                       Yes, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Excellent. Great. Let's bring in our
     jury.
 3
              MS. KANE: Your Honor, may I ask what the schedule
 4
 5
     will be today with breaks? Will it be similar to yesterday?
              THE COURT: Well, similar, but I usually -- go ahead,
 6
 7
     Tracy, bring them in.
          What I usually do is look for golden opportunities.
 8
     depending on how long the first witness goes, I could call a
 9
     break right after that and then the porter can clean up and
10
11
     take care of two things at once.
          On the other hand, let's say he is only on the stand for
12
     five minutes, then I can't just send the jury out after five
13
     minutes. I have got to go to the next witness with clean up in
14
15
     between.
16
          I am going to try to have a lunch break today for at least
     30 minutes, and we will have at least one break by
17
18
     10:00 o'clock, 10:30 somewhere in there.
19
              MS. KANE:
                         Thank you.
              THE COURT: Just bear with me. It is hard to predict
20
     what the schedule will be.
21
22
                       (Recess taken at 9:00 a.m.)
23
                    (Proceedings resumed at 9:02 a.m.)
          (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Members of the Jury, I think you have
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
probably -- we have rearranged a few of you so that it will be
more comfortable for you and also better for the lawyers if all
of you will be on that side of the room now.
     Tracy, is everyone here?
         THE CLERK:
                    I believe so.
         THE COURT: Let's just do a head count. Do we have 12
or not?
                    Yes, we have 12.
         THE CLERK:
         THE COURT:
                    All right. Good. Thank you. All right.
Please be seated and welcome back.
     Welcome back. Now, if you-all, Members of the Jury, need
to stand up, be my quest. That's okay. And -- or if you need
a break at any time, we will accommodate that. Raise your hand
or if you feel like that you want to move to a different spot,
we will try to accommodate that too.
     So -- but right now we think we have a good arrangement,
and we want to give it a try. So great.
     Now, you will remember -- oh, I have some more news for
      There is a good chance but I won't say a certainty or
      It just may be a probability, better likely than not,
that we will get the case to you for decision by Wednesday and
that you would then have Wednesday, Thursday and Friday and
```

And at this time I want to -- whenever you are in the next break, you might consider whether starting tomorrow, which is

next week, if you need it, to deliberate.

Wednesday, you would stay later than 2:00 o'clock in order to deliberate. That's up to you. You don't have to. You can decide: No, I want to go home at 2:00 o'clock and we will deliberate Thursday and Friday. That's okay. But I let the jury decide their own schedule for the afternoons.

And you have my permission to -- don't talk about the merits of the case. Just say: Do you want to stay later on Wednesday and Thursday and Friday. Or you could decide that day by day. But sometimes you need advance planning to make the arrangements to be able to do that. But, please, do not discuss the merits of the case in deciding whether or not you would want to stay later.

So that's what the lawyers are telling me. Now, it could be wrong. It could be that we are going to go into next week. I have to warn you. You still need to be prepared to be here next week, but I believe that there is a good chance that the case will go to you for decision on Wednesday; and you could have Wednesday, Thursday and Friday to deliberate plus next week.

Okay. You will remember when we broke yesterday, that Mr. Romanenko, the interpreter; right, Ms. Kane?

MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He was on the stand. Is he here now? And he was about to be cross-examined but because of the hour, we decided to put it over. So bring him on in.

So we are going to finish up with Mr. Romanenko. And then 1 we will go to a long witness, a witness who will be on the 2 stand for several hours. So that might even take the rest of 3 the day. 4 5 Mr. Romanenko, you know the drill now. Please have a seat in the witness stand. 6 Before you begin, the lawyers have asked me to say that 7 Exhibits 89 and 89A have been offered by the Government in 8 evidence and have now been received into evidence. And so 9 those two exhibits might come up in the cross-examination in a 10 11 few minutes. But 89 and 89A, which were mentioned yesterday, are now in evidence. 12 13 So that part is done. And were there any other preliminaries that I was supposed to go into? 14 15 MS. NECHAY: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Nechay, I don't know 17 if you know her or not but there she is. Valery Nechay. She is going to cross-examine you now on behalf of the Defendant. 18 Ms. Nechay, the floor is yours. Please go ahead. 19 ANDRE ROMANENKO, 20 21 called as a witness for the Government, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows: 22 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. NECHAY:

24

25

Thank you very much. Good morning. Thank you for coming

- 1 back to supplement your testimony.
- 2 As a reminder to the jurors, I will just briefly begin by
- 3 refreshing the recollection as to your testimony.
- 4 On direct examination you stated that Zhenya is a nickname
- 5 | for Yevgeniy.
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. First of all, let's discuss the name Yevgeniy. This is a
- 8 | first name rather than a last name in the Russian language?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And it is a common -- Yevgeniy is a common name in Russia?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Yesterday I believe you stated there are many permutations
- 13 | for the spelling of Yevgeniy; is that correct?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. It may be spelled perhaps, Yevgeniy, with a Y like
- 16 Y-E-V-G-E-N-I-Y?
- 17 A. Yes, in English.
- 18 Q. Uh-huh. Or in English it can be spelled Evgeniy beginning
- 19 with an E, E-V-G-E-N-I-Y?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 | Q. So essentially it could be spelled in multiple different
- 22 | ways, perhaps, I didn't even mention?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And these spelling variations of the name Yevgeniy
- 25 primarily exist when the name is translated into the English

- 1 | language; meaning -- let me clarify. Meaning, are there
- 2 permutations of the spelling in Russian for the name Yevgeniy?
- 3 **A.** Not for the name Yevgeniy.
- 4 Q. Okay. So it is just when it is just -- the permutations
- 5 of the spelling are primarily when the name is translated into
- 6 English?
- 7 A. Translated into English.
- 8 Q. The first name Yevgeniy may sound unique here in America;
- 9 | but as you were just saying, it is very common in Russia. It
- 10 | is ubiquitous?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And it is not just common in Russia. It is common in
- 13 other former Soviet territories as well?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** Including Ukraine?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. What other Russian speaking territories is the name
- 18 Yevgeniy popular in?
- 19 A. Any of the post-Soviet Republics, Belarus, Kazakhstan,
- 20 | Moldova, Lithuania.
- 21 | Q. What other names are uncommon here but popular in Russia,
- 22 | for example?
- 23 **A.** There are lots of them: Andre, Anton, Alexander.
- 24 | Q. Can you think -- perhaps, can we make an analogy, is that
- 25 as common as the names Adam, William or Jeffrey here in the

- 1 United States?
- 2 **A.** The names that I have just mentioned?
- 3 Q. Yes, I apologize. And the name Yevgeniy, is that
- 4 | similarly as popular as, for example, Adam, William or Jeffrey?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. However, the name Yevgeniy is not only used as a first
- 7 | name but can be used as a middle name as well?
- 8 A. There would be a variation of the name. It would be
- 9 Yevgenivich (phonetic).
- 10 Q. And you are speaking of the patronymic system in Russian
- 11 | speaking countries whereby the persons familial name, according
- 12 to their father, will be used in the middle -- as a middle
- 13 name?
- 14 A. The father's first name would be used as the middle name
- 15 of the child.
- 16 Q. Okay. And the shortened version of Yevgeniy irrespective
- 17 of its English spelling is Zhenya?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. The shortened name Zhenya in Russian does not denote some
- 20 | signifier for one specific person?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. So whether a random person named Yevgeniy is of Russian
- 23 | Ukranian or Belarusian descent, that Yevgeniy's name would
- 24 | always be shortened Zhenya?
- 25 A. That would be one variation.

- 1 Q. Essentially Yevgeniy and Zhenya are used interchangeably?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 | Q. And the name Anna -- or in Russian Anya -- was also
- 4 | brought up yesterday. Do you recall?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 | Q. And is Anna or Anya also a very common name in Russia?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. In fact, it is probably one of the most common names in
- 9 Russia?
- 10 A. One of them, yes.
- 11 Q. Yesterday when you were discussing the names, you
- 12 described them as nicknames or Zhenya as a nickname. What is
- 13 | your operating definition of nickname?
- 14 A. I'm sorry. I did not discuss Zhenya as a nickname. I
- 15 | said that it is a diminutive of Yevgeniy.
- 16 Q. Okay. And that's, again, because it is -- Zhenya is not
- 17 | an identifier for one specific person the way that a nickname
- 18 | would suggest that it has -- is a nickname for one specific
- 19 person?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. In a different context you use the term nickname, well, in
- 22 | the context of a linguistic structure of the name Lomovich. Do
- 23 you remember?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you testified about Lomovich, the middle portion, you

- 1 discussed was a crowbar in Russian?
- 2 **A.** The root of the word translates into English as a crowbar.
- 3 | Q. Okay. However, many names -- many words in Russian also
- 4 | have a double meaning?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. For example, I was introduced by the Judge to you as
- 7 | Valery Nechay. But if my name in Russian was pronounced Nechai
- 8 (phonetic), can you tell me what does the second portion of
- 9 | that mean in Russian?
- 10 **A.** You mean your last name?
- 11 Q. Yes. For example, just Nechai (phonetic), what would that
- 12 | "chai" mean in Russian?
- 13 **A.** It would mean hot tea.
- 14 Q. So it is not unfeasible that Lomovich is some Russian
- 15 | person's actual last name?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Let's discuss the Russian language a little bit in
- 18 general. Russian is complicated as a language in general.
- 19 Would you agree?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you agreed previously that there are many words that
- 22 | could have multiple meanings. For example, when there is a
- 23 | prefix, it can change the particular meaning of a word?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** And the prefix is before the root?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Let me use an example. What is a word for run in Russian?
- 3 A. Bezhat, B-E-Z-H-A-T.
- 4 Q. So, for example, bezhat, if you say -- if you change the
- 5 | prefix and you say pre-bezhat, what does that mean?
- 6 **A.** To run up, to stop running.
- 7 | Q. And if you change the prefix from the word bezhat to
- 8 | izbezhat, how does that change the meaning?
- 9 **A.** To run away, to run from.
- 10 Q. If I change the prefix of the word bezhat to tsybezhat,
- 11 | how does that change the meaning of the word?
- 12 **A.** To run into something.
- 13 Q. And if I use the prefix pobezhat, how does that change the
- 14 | meaning of the word bezhat?
- 15 **A.** To run up to or to run close to something.
- 16 **Q.** So as we have just demonstrated, depending on the prefix,
- 17 | the word can have multiple meanings?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And sometimes those meanings are completely different?
- 20 A. Sometimes.
- 21 | Q. The meaning can vary further depending on the context that
- 22 | it is used in?
- 23 **A.** Absolutely.
- 24 Q. As well as the slang and dialect?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 | Q. When you were asked to translate the materials you
- 2 | reviewed in this case, you were familiar with the accusations
- 3 by the Prosecution?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. So that is the context within which you reviewed the
- 6 documents to check for their accuracy and interpret them?
- 7 **A.** Along with the text as the context.
- 8 Q. Specifically you were asked about -- you were asked about
- 9 differences between Russian words that could either mean "hack"
- 10 or "break"?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And at that time you did know the context of what you
- 13 | were -- what you were reviewing?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 | Q. Specifically, you were asked to review a call -- a --
- 16 | excuse me -- an audio as well as the transcript for that call?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall when you reviewed that transcript when the
- 19 | word you believed was used "hack," what was that word?
- 20 **A.** The word in Russian was vzlomat, V-Z-L-O-M-A-T.
- 21 **Q.** And this is also similar to another word, slomat?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And what does slomat mean?
- 24 **A.** Slomat means to break something into pieces.
- 25 Q. Do you recall that in the transcript when the word you

ROMANENKO - CROSS / NECHAY

- believe vzlomat was used that the transcript indicated that 1 there was laughing?
- I don't understand the question. THE COURT: Please 3
- rephrase it somehow. It is just not clear. 4
- 5 MS. NECHAY: Sure.
- BY MS. NECHAY: 6

2

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Do you recall the transcript indicating that the speaker 7 Q. was laughing while they were using that word?
- This word was used in several places. In one of them the 9 Α. speaker was laughing. 10
- Are you certain that it was only in one place that there 11 was an indication there was laughing? 12
- The other transcript was a transcript of a writing, so 13 Α. there was no laughing over there. 14
 - THE COURT: It is unclear -- can I ask you to clarify? Are we talking about a recording, audio recording, or are we talking about a transcript and, thirdly, a translation into English?
 - So there are three different things. And I want to make sure the jury is following your cross-examination. those distinctions in mind, please.
 - Thank you, Your Honor. I was -- just to MS. NECHAY: clarify to, Your Honor, I was speaking of Exhibit Number 89 of the English transcript.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. So that has not yet been clear

ROMANENKO - CROSS / NECHAY to the jury but okay. So you are talking about 89? 1 MS. NECHAY: Yes, Exhibit 89. 2 THE COURT: All right. So please continue. 3 MS. NECHAY: Thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: Here is what is confusing to me: You were talking about laughter but when you have -- wouldn't you have 6 to be hearing the audio in order to know that there was 7 laughter as opposed to a transcript which is just words? 8 MS. NECHAY: Well, Your Honor, in the particular 9 transcript provided by the Government, they did indicate in 10 11 brackets that there was laughing. If Your Honor needs a reference, it is on page 3 of Exhibit 89. 12 **THE COURT:** I see one reference now. Okay. 13 All I see your point. Okay. 14 riaht. 15 MS. KANE: If I could just clarify, Your Honor, the 16 jury has not seen the transcript nor heard the recording here. 17 THE COURT: Correct. They haven't seen it yet but 89 is in evidence. And they will eventually see it if they wish. 18 Go ahead. 19 Okay. BY MS. NECHAY: 20 At the time that you reviewed this transcript, you had 21 never met Mr. Nikulin? 22 23 Α. No.

So you had no way to tell whether he has a strange sense

of humor and was making a joke here?

24

```
1 A. Correct.
```

- 2 | Q. And you also hadn't received all of his other -- other
- 3 transcripts of his jail calls in the past?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 \ Q. So you had no ability to use in context the totality of
- 6 how his communication style is when you were in interpreting
- 7 | this transcript and the audio?
- 8 A. I was verifying the accuracy of the translation. And when
- 9 listening to the conversation -- to the recording in Russian
- 10 and reviewing the translation in English, the translation in
- 11 | the context of that conversation seemed accurate to me.
- 12 | Q. But, again, you stated that when you are interpreting,
- 13 | meanings could change depending on the context?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you did not have any ability to have the benefit of
- 16 | knowing this individual to know what his subjective intention
- 17 | was in making these statements?
- 18 | A. I did not know his subjective intentions. I translated
- 19 the document and verified the accuracy based on the context
- 20 presented to me.
- 21 MS. NECHAY: Thank you very much.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Is that it?
- MS. NECHAY: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay. All right. Any redirect?
- 25 \\\\

ROMANENKO - REDIRECT / KANE

1 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MS. KANE:

- 4 Q. Ms. Nechay asked you some questions about the context for your translation.
- Based on your expertise as a translator, did you review
 the translations consistent with that expertise and render your
 opinion in the normal course that you do in translating?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And is the type of information that you had in order to
- 11 render an opinion on this translation similar to the types of
- 12 | information you typically have when you are translating?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Can you ever know the subjective intent of someone for
- 15 | whom you are translating?
- 16 A. If the person tells that to me, then I know it.
- 17 Otherwise, I judge by the context.
- 18 Q. And if I told you that that call was made in the context
- of a Defendant accused of something totally different, like,
- 20 assault or bank robbery, would that change your opinion as to
- 21 | the translation?
- 22 **A.** No.
- MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
- 24 questions.
- 25 | THE COURT: Well, let's just -- I know you didn't

ROMANENKO - REDIRECT / KANE

```
intend to leave any such impression, but let's not confuse
 1
     anybody here. There is no suggestion ever that the Defendant
 2
    has robbed a bank, is there?
 3
              MS. KANE: No, absolutely not, Your Honor.
 4
 5
     simply responding to the Defense question regarding the context
     of this case. I apologize if I left any such impression.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. The jury should be aware that
 7
     there is no suggestion ever that the Defendant has robbed a
 8
    bank, and that was just for hypothetical illustrative purposes.
 9
     So that's fine.
10
11
          Okay.
                Anymore recross?
              MS. NECHAY: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: May this witness be excused and
     discharged?
14
15
              MS. KANE: Yes.
                               Thank you.
16
              MS. NECHAY: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You can put your mask
     back on and have a good day. We are going to go to the next
18
19
     witness, but could our day porter come forward and wipe down
20
     the witness bench, please.
21
          And while we are doing that, you may bring in your next
22
     witness.
23
              MS. KANE:
                         Thank you, Your Honor. The United States
     calls Special Agent Jeffrey Miller.
24
```

THE COURT: Excellent. Please bring him forward too.

ROMANENKO - REDIRECT / KANE

(Pause in proceedings.) 1 Members of the Jury, I will just have to 2 THE COURT: explain, I didn't remember that we were supposed to do this 3 between witnesses. So we didn't do it yesterday. That was my 4 5 fault. And -- but I have been reminded, and we are going to do it the right way for the safety of the witnesses. 6 The witness may come forward and stand about there and 7 raise your right hand. 8 9 JEFFREY MILLER, called as a witness for the Government, having been duly sworn, 10 testified as follows: 11 THE COURT: Welcome, sir. You know the drill. 12 Please 13 remove your mask and speak into the microphone and give us your name so that we can. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Jeffrey S. Miller. 16 THE COURT: I think you need to be a little closer to the microphone in order to make sure that everybody hears. 17 it again. 18 THE WITNESS: Jeffrey S. Miller. 19 THE COURT: Everybody hear okay? Raise your hand if 20 you feel you have a problem hearing. 21 22 (No response.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Everything is cool. 24 please go ahead. 25 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. KANE:

- 3 **Q.** Good morning.
- 4 **A.** Good morning.
- 5 Q. Could you please tell us where you work.
- 6 A. I work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 7 | Q. How long have you worked for the FBI?
- 8 A. A little over ten years.
- 9 Q. And where are you currently assigned to work for the FBI?
- 10 A. The Indianapolis field office.
- 11 | Q. How long have you been assigned there?
- 12 **A.** About two months.
- 13 **Q.** And where were you assigned before Indianapolis?
- 14 A. The San Francisco field office.
- 15 **Q.** And how long were you there?
- 16 A. Just shy of ten years.
- 17 | Q. Before you began your work as an FBI agent, did you
- 18 | receive training?
- 19 **A.** I did.
- 20 **Q.** And can you tell us about some of that training?
- 21 **A.** It was a six-month training at the FBI Academy where we
- 22 were taught law, defensive tactics, investigative techniques,
- 23 | things of that nature.
- 24 | Q. And are you assigned to a particular FBI squad in
- 25 Indianapolis?

A. Yes, I am.

- 2 Q. And what does that squad do?
- 3 A. Cyber Crime.
- 4 | Q. When you were in San Francisco, were you assigned to a
- 5 particular squad?
- 6 A. Yes, I was.
- 7 **Q.** And what did that squad do?
- 8 A. Also Cyber Crime.
- 9 Q. And can you describe what types of crimes you mean when
- 10 you say "cyber crime"?
- 11 | A. Sure. We would typically investigate data breaches --
- 12 | large scale, small scale, distribute dialects, so overwhelming
- 13 | a server with data to take it off-line, things of that nature.
- 14 Q. Before you joined the FBI, did you have any work
- 15 experience that is relevant to your work on the cyber crimes?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. Can you describe that, please?
- 18 **A.** Sure. I was a prototype manufacturing engineer at Delphi
- 19 | Electronics & Safety. And my day-to-day functions involved
- 20 | software development, network administration, database
- 21 administration.
- 22 | Q. And did you work with particular types of software?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 24 **Q.** What was that?
- 25 | A. Oracle databases, SQL databases, programming languages.

- 1 Q. And did you go to university?
- 2 **A.** I did.
- 3 | Q. Where did you go?
- 4 A. Purdue University.
- 5 **Q.** That's in Indiana, where you are now?
- 6 A. Yes, Ma'am.
- 7 **Q.** And what did you study?
- 8 A. Computer technology.
- 9 Q. Now, how many computer intrusion cases would you say that
- 10 | you have worked on in your time with the FBI?
- 11 **A.** As a sole investigator, somewhere between 25 and 35.
- 12 Q. And did those cases involve theft of user credentials?
- 13 **A.** Yes, they did.
- 14 Q. And what about the disposal or trafficking of those
- 15 | credentials?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. In addition to your training as an FBI agent at the
- 18 | initial course in Quantico, have you received any other
- 19 | training relevant to your cyber investigation?
- 20 A. Yes. I have received ongoing training in various fields;
- 21 | but in particular I have received training -- there was a Linux
- 22 | for law enforcement class, log analysis using Splunk. It is a
- 23 | software platform.
- 24 Q. Could you spell that, please?
- 25 **A.** S-P-L-U-N-K. I have taken industry standard SANS courses,

- 1 introduction to cyber security, security essentials, Macintosh
- 2 forensics.
- 3 | Q. And do you have any particular training in forensic
- 4 extraction techniques?
- 5 A. Yes. I have certification with the FBI as a Dext
- 6 Examiner.
- 7 **Q.** What does that mean? Can you spell that?
- 8 **A.** Sure. D-E-X-T.
- 9 **Q.** And what does that mean?
- 10 A. It authorizes me to make images of Windows machines and
- 11 | conduct an examination of the resulting image to search for
- 12 various items.
- 13 Q. Okay. And I think I used the term "digital extraction."
- 14 And I apologize. Maybe you could tell the jury how you
- 15 understood that term.
- 16 **A.** As far as?
- 17 **Q.** What is digital extraction?
- 18 A. Yes. Digital extraction is taking and removing or finding
- 19 | evidence on an image and taking it off that machine.
- 20 **Q.** Thank you.
- Now, I would like to take you back to 2012. Were you
- 22 | involved in the investigation of a computer intrusion incident
- 23 at LinkedIn?
- 24 **A.** I was.
- 25 | Q. And how did you get involved with that?

- 1 A. I believe it was June 6th of 2012, LinkedIn called my
- 2 | supervisor and advised him of a situation a data breach. Based
- on my case load and background, I was assigned the
- 4 investigation.
- 5 | Q. And what did you do to begin your investigation?
- 6 **A.** I immediately wanted to interview employees of LinkedIn
- 7 and obtain log files.
- 8 Q. And did LinkedIn provide you information about what they
- 9 | had reported?
- 10 A. They did.
- 11 **Q.** And what types of information did they give you?
- 12 A. They provided me SSH logs, secure shell; VPN logs.
- 13 **Q.** And what was it that they reported to you initial -- or to
- 14 | the FBI initially that caused you to start investigating?
- 15 **A.** They had identified a posting on a website
- 16 | insidepro.com -- it is a Russian forum -- that had a link to
- 17 | approximately 6.5 password hashes.
- 18 | Q. And why was LinkedIn in particular reporting this to the
- 19 FBI?
- 20 **A.** It supposedly belonged to LinkedIn. It was LinkedIn data.
- 21 **Q.** And you said that was on a website called inside.pro?
- 22 A. I believe it is InsidePro.
- 23 Q. Oh, I'm sorry, insidepro.com?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Thank you. Were you able to visit that website?

- 1 | A. Yes, I was.
- 2 Q. And did you see the posting that LinkedIn had referred to?
- 3 **A.** I did.
- 4 Q. Were you able to -- you referred to a link in the posting.
- 5 | Were you able to follow the link?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. Were you able to see what was posted?
- 8 A. Yes, I was.
- 9 MS. KANE: I would like to show what has been marked
- 10 as Exhibit 5.
- 11 BY MS. KANE:
- 12 **Q.** Are you able to see that on your screen?
- 13 A. I am not.
- 14 MS. KANE: Okay.
- 15 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 16 MS. KANE: I have a set of exhibits here. And,
- 17 perhaps, you can step down and put them close to you.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** It is on the screen now.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. 5 is not yet in evidence. Am
- 20 I correct?
- 21 MS. KANE: That's correct, Your Honor. It should not
- 22 be on the jury screen.
- 23 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 24 BY MS. KANE:
- 25 Q. Do you have Exhibit 5?

- 1 A. I can pull it up. It was on the screen a second ago.
- 2 Q. Okay. We also have a hard copy for you.

3 (Pause in proceedings.)

4 MS. KANE: You can keep it in the folder actually

5 | because we will just put those aside when we are done with

6 them.

7 (Pause in proceedings.)

- 8 BY MS. KANE:
- 9 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 5?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 Q. What is it?
- 12 **A.** This is the posting of the LinkedIn password hashes on
- 13 InsidePro.
- 14 Q. And does this look like what you saw back in 2012?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- 16 MS. KANE: Your Honor, the United States moves to
- 17 | admit Exhibit 5.
- 18 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Received in evidence.
- 20 (Trial Exhibit 5 received in evidence.)
- 21 MS. KANE: Can we show Exhibit 5?
- 22 BY MS. KANE:
- 23 Q. Is this what you were referring to when you said that
- 24 | there was a link?
- 25 A. Yes, Ma'am.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 MS. KANE: We can put that down now.
- 3 BY MS. KANE:
- 4 | Q. We will come back to that posting, but I would like to
- 5 | talk about what you mentioned regarding information from
- 6 LinkedIn.
- 7 You said that they provided you logs and other data?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. So I would like to show what has been admitted as Exhibit
- 10 33.
- 11 MS. KANE: We can put that up.
- 12 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 13 BY MS. KANE:
- 14 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 33?
- 15 **A.** I do.
- 16 **Q.** What is it?
- 17 **A.** These are VPN logs for the user Nick Berry.
- 18 Q. And is this something you received from LinkedIn in 2012?
- 19 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 20 **Q.** And you reviewed this in the course of your investigation?
- 21 **A.** I absolutely did.
- 22 Q. Did you identify significant investigative leads from this
- 23 document?
- 24 **A.** I did.
- 25 **Q.** And what were those?

- 1 A. They were logins to the VPN from Russian IP addresses.
- 2 **Q.** And why were those significant?
- 3 **A.** Because Mr. Berry lived here in the Bay Area.
- 4 MS. KANE: And if we can just blow up this section
- 5 here.
- 6 BY MS. KANE:
- 7 Q. Are those the IP addresses that you identified as
- 8 | significant that are identified there as Russian Federation?
- 9 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 10 Q. That is an IP address that ends in .170 and one that ends
- 11 in .239?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And those -- I'm sorry. Let me move on.
- I would like to show you Exhibit 26, which should be in
- 15 one of the bags. I apologize. It is in a box.
- 16 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 17 BY MS. KANE:
- 18 | Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 26?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 20 | Q. And what is it?
- 21 **A.** It is a hard drive provided by LinkedIn.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And did LinkedIn provide this to you around the
- 23 beginning of your investigation?
- 24 A. Yes, they did.
- 25 **Q.** And what did it contain?

- 1 A. I believe it contained SSH and VPN logs.
- 2 Q. So those are the logs you mentioned before?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So now -- you can put that aside -- and I would
- 5 | like to show you -- I would like you to pull out from the
- 6 folder -- actually, I have it here. This is Exhibit 26B.
- 7 **A.** Thank you.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 26B?
- 9 **A.** I do.
- 10 **Q.** And what is that?
- 11 **A.** This is an excerpt of those VPN logs.
- 12 Q. All right. So did you create this from the contents of
- 13 | the drive we were just looking at?
- 14 **A.** I did.
- 15 | Q. And does it accurately reflect your summary of a relevant
- 16 | portion of those VPN logs?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. Are those logs voluminous and difficult for humans to
- 19 review?
- 20 A. Extremely.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 MS. KANE: The United States moves to admit Exhibit
- 23 26B.
- 24 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Received in evidence.

(Trial Exhibit 26B received in evidence.) 1 MS. KANE: So let's show Exhibit 26B, please. 2 (Pause in the proceedings.) 3 It doesn't seem to be on the screen. MS. KANE: 4 5 (Pause in proceedings.) MS. KANE: Do we need to do something to put it on the 6 7 screen? THE CLERK: I think she is doing that now. 8 (Pause in proceedings.) 9 MS. KANE: We were disconnected from the Zoom for a 10 11 moment. All right. BY MS. KANE: 12 So what does Exhibit 26B show? 13 0. It shows a connection from those two Russian IP addresses. 14 15 All right. So does this have more information than the Q. 16 other log that we had been looking at? 17 Yes, it does. Α. Okay. And if you could just explain to us what you --18 what you found significant about this -- I'm sorry -- about 19 20 this exhibit. We have here the username column. And what does that show? 21 22 Α. Nberry. And the IP address column, what does that show? 23 Q. 178.14.107.170 and 17.140.105.239. 24 Α. Okay. And the dates are March 3rd and March 5th of 2012; 25

- 1 is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. So do these connections that you are showing here, are
- 4 | those the same connections we were just looking at on the other
- 5 | VPN log that you received from LinkedIn?
- 6 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 7 | Q. And this summary shows additional information that is not
- 8 on the other summary because it wasn't included in that one; is
- 9 | that right?
- 10 **A.** That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And it includes a duration for these connections;
- 12 | is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And we see a duration of 39 minutes for the first
- 15 | connection; 20 minutes for the second connection; and 2 days, 7
- 16 | hours and 18 minutes for the third connection; is that right?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. All right. And that third connection is from the IP
- 19 | address ending in .239; is that correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** Okay. And, again, that's the same connection that we were
- 22 | just looking at on Exhibit 33?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 \ Q. Now, the date and time on this spreadsheet -- what is the
- 25 | time zone for these?

- 1 **A.** UTC.
- 2 Q. Okay. And the other summary was converted to Pacific
- 3 time, I believe, was the prior testimony?
- 4 A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. This also includes a column that says bytes,
- 6 B-Y-T-E-S, xmt and bytes rcv. What are -- what did those show?
- 7 **A.** Yes. The bytes xmt is the total amount sent during the
- 8 | connection, and the bytes received is the amount received by
- 9 the end user.
- 10 Q. And the bytes refer to the data; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes, the amount of data being sent.
- 12 Q. And what is the amount of data that was sent or received
- in the third connection from the 239 IP address?
- 14 **A.** It is in bytes so 312,310,611.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Now, I would like to switch to a timeline and this
- 16 | was previously shown with the witness, Special Agent Bryant
- 17 Ling.
- 18 MS. KANE: So if we can put that timeline up, the
- 19 | first slide.
- 20 **THE WITNESS:** Can I add something about the duration?
- 21 **THE COURT:** Yes. Go ahead.
- 22 THE WITNESS: The duration is important on the last IP
- 23 | address as it is connected for over two days, which would
- 24 | indicate you would need a very stable connection. If your
- 25 internet connection drops, most likely the VPN would cancel out

Case 3:16-cr-00440-WHA Document 253 Filed 07/10/20 Page 56 of 171 MILLER - DIRECT / KANE and that session would disconnect. So it is an anomalous long 1 connection. 2 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you. 3 BY MS. KANE: 4 5 All right. So if we could begin the slide, so this is Q. what was shown when we ended with Special Agent Ling. And now 6 you have just testified regarding this connection that lasted 7 over two days from the .239 IP address. So I would like to add 8 this to this timeline. 9 MS. KANE: Go ahead. 10 BY MS. KANE: 11 So that comes in right there. Now, let's go back to 26B. 12

- 13 **THE COURT:** I don't get your point. What are you
- 14 suggesting?
- 15 BY MS. KANE:
- 16 Q. So this connection through the Nick Berry VPN log -- or
- 17 | VPN credentials occurs just after the successful access to Nick
- 18 | Berry's computer, as Special Agent Ling testified; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 **A.** That's correct.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Okay. It was on the -- the Nick Berry
- 22 | timeline but it just evaporated.
- 23 MS. KANE: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- 24 **THE COURT:** The box, the new box on the timeline
- 25 disappeared.

1 MS. KANE: Oh, can you put that back for a moment? 2 Thank you. So we have Nick Berry's testimony, as it was. And that is 3 in the blue boxes. And Special Agent Miller just testified to 4 5 this new fact that we have added, and that's in the red box. THE COURT: All right. Well, is this showing to the 6 jury? Can you all see this? 7 All right. They say yes. 8 I want the jury to understand that this timeline here is 9 not evidence. You are not purporting to say this is evidence. 10 11 This is just your argument piece that helps keep things organized for, but this is not itself evidence. 12 That's correct, Your Honor. Just as with 13 MS. KANE: Special Agent Ling, we are using this to help everyone 14 15 understand the testimony. 16 THE COURT: All right. Okay. MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Your Honor, there is a question back 17 18 here. JUROR WOODROW: The view of the attorneys is blocking 19 20 off the edge of our --THE COURT: For me too. That is an excellent point. 21 Counsel, what is happening here is the picture of me and the 22 23 attorneys is also on -- sharing the screen. Is there a way to do the timeline without doing the Zoom? 24 25 MR. GASNER: Your Honor, whoever has control of the

Zoom can move that -- can move that minimized screen over to 1 the left so that it is visible. 2 THE COURT: That's an excellent suggestion. Can you 3 do that? 4 5 MS. KANE: I think it is actually the feed from the CRD's feed that we need to do that on. 6 7 THE CLERK: Okay. Hang on. Sorry. (Pause in proceedings.) 8 Tracy, do you know how to do that? THE COURT: 9 THE CLERK: I'm trying, Judge. Hang on. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. KANE: If you see --13 THE COURT: I'm going to have one of my jurors to come up here and take over. 14 15 (Laughter) If you see on the very top where it says 16 MS. KANE: 17 Adam Gasner, there is four little icons. If you click on the 18 one that is just sort of a line right there, give that a try. Click on that. 19 20 (Pause in proceedings.) MS. KANE: That should minimize the images if you just 21 take your cursor to where the video is. Take your mouse --22 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did somebody say something? thought I heard a suggestion back there. Okay. 24 25 MS. KANE: As you said, Your Honor, this is --

Ms. Zhen, I thought you had an idea how to 1 THE COURT: fix this. 2 JUROR ZHEN: The Counsel Woman has it right. You 3 bring the mouse over to where the video is. You can drag it to 4 5 the -- right out of the way or you can click on the minimize --The mouse isn't working. THE CLERK: 6 Click on the minimize. Click on the minus 7 THE COURT: sign and see if that will get rid of the pictures of the 8 9 lawyers. MS. KANE: I think the CRD is having trouble 10 11 controlling her mouse. Yeah, I am. 12 THE CLERK: 13 THE COURT: So the mouse is going -- scurrying around without -- well, okay. Here is what we are going to do. You 14 15 raise an excellent point, and we are going to keep going for 16 now and fix it before long. 17 THE CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: We will fix it but we can't fix it right 18 19 on the fly. So let's move along. And whenever we take our 20 break, we will get it fixed. 21 MS. KANE: Let's go back to 26B. If we can go to the 22 second tab click on the second tab, please. BY MS. KANE: 23 Special Agent Miller, what does this second tab of 26B 24 25 show?

- 1 A. It also shows VPN connections for the Nick Berry user.
- 2 Q. Okay. And were these from IP addresses that traced back
- 3 to Russia?
- 4 A. No, they are not.
- 5 Q. Okay. Why did you select these to pull out of the VPN
- 6 logs for your summary?
- 7 A. These were IPs that Mr. Berry confirmed that he had used.
- 8 Q. And did -- so -- these were from the same logs as the
- 9 other connections on the other tab?
- 10 **A.** That's correct.
- 11 | Q. And if we can look here in the duration and the bytes
- 12 | columns?
- 13 MS. KANE: Can you blow up this part here
- 14 (indicating).
- 15 BY MS. KANE:
- 16 **Q.** Okay. Well, let's just read what is in the duration
- 17 | column. The first connection from a non-Russian IP address we
- 18 | have listed here is 2 hours and 42 minutes; is that correct?
- 19 **A.** That's correct.
- 20 **Q.** And the second one is 19 minutes; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. And what are the bytes received for these connections?
- 23 | A. Unfortunately, I can't see them because of the Zoom.
- 24 **Q.** Do you have a paper copy there?
- 25 | A. I do. The bytes received for the first connection were

- 1 2270076 and the second connection were 2198603.
- 2 Q. And so going back to the first page, the first tab, the --
- 3 | just using basic math, what is the largest amount of bytes
- 4 received of all the connections we just looked at?
- 5 **A.** For the 239 IP address for the two-day.
- 6 Q. That was for the two-day connection; is that right?
- 7 **A.** That's correct.
- 8 Q. And is it fair to say that that is much larger than the
- 9 other amounts?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. In reviewing these logs, did you see any other connections
- 12 | that had similar length and amount of bytes received?
- 13 A. I did not.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. Did you investigate Nick Berry in the
- 15 | course of the investigation of the LinkedIn intrusion?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 **Q.** What did you do?
- 18 A. I requested records for social media accounts of his to
- 19 establish an IP history trail.
- 20 **Q.** All right. Did you interview him?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 | Q. Did you review a copy of his computer?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 24 \ Q. And in that box there is something marked Exhibit 7, if
- 25 | you want to just take a look at that.

1 (Pause in proceedings.)

- 2 BY MS. KANE:
- 3 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?
- 4 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. What is it?
- 6 **A.** This is an image of Mr. Berry's iMac.
- 7 Q. And that is the image that Special Agent Ling testified
- 8 | about previously; is that right?
- 9 **A.** That's correct.
- 10 Q. And did you review that -- that image during your
- 11 | investigation of the LinkedIn intrusion?
- 12 **A.** I did.
- 13 | Q. Now I would like to show you what has already been
- 14 admitted as Exhibit 32.
- And while we are pulling that up, while you were reviewing
- 16 | that image and otherwise investigating Mr. Berry, did you see
- 17 | any IP overlap that led you to believe that he was responsible
- 18 | for the Russian IP logins?
- 19 **A.** Absolutely not.
- 20 **Q.** So here is Exhibit 32. Did you review this during your
- 21 | investigation?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 **Q.** And what is it?
- 24 **A.** This particular tab is login data for various LinkedIn
- 25 members.

- 1 Q. And if we could look at the member info tab, please. We
- 2 | have showed this before. And what does this show?
- 3 A. This shows a list of compromised LinkedIn member accounts
- 4 | that LinkedIn identified -- excuse me -- using the Russian IP
- 5 addresses and other pivot points that they discovered during
- 6 their investigation.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And when you say "other pivot points," what were
- 8 those?
- 9 **A.** In particular the user agent string and the browser
- 10 cookie.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, let's go back to the login data with
- 12 | timestamps tab.
- 13 And this tab shows the login data including the IP
- 14 | addresses for those member IDs we were just looking at; is that
- 15 right?
- 16 **A.** That's correct.
- 17 | Q. And now I would like to show -- to make it easier for the
- 18 | jury to see, we have taken just a snapshot of only the entries
- 19 | with IP -- that the IP address that ends in .239.
- 20 So this is that same exhibit but just showing those
- 21 particular entries. And this now has a much fewer number of
- 22 entries. We have 8 there and what does this show?
- 23 | A. This shows the login information using that 239 IP that
- 24 has the same browser cookie and user agent string.
- 25 Q. So that looks like there are two different browser IDs.

- 1 That is the browser cookie; correct?
- 2 **A.** Correct.
- 3 Q. One of them starts eeb and one of them starts 8aa?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Were those the two that LinkedIn had identified for you in
- 6 | their investigation?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. And the user agent string column reflects a series of data
- 9 | and all of these have the word "sputnik" in them; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Yes, they do.
- 12 Q. Now, there is a member ID column and what does that show?
- 13 **A.** That is the member ID for the LinkedIn member.
- 14 Q. And you should have up there a printout of just the member
- 15 | ID tab that we were just looking at on that spreadsheet. It
- 16 | should be in your Exhibit 32.
- 17 Again, just to make this easier, we have printed out a
- 18 | copy so we don't have to flip back and forth.
- 19 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 20 BY MS. KANE:
- 21 | Q. So if you will look at that member info tab --
- 22 MS. KANE: Let's keep that up there, please.
- 23 BY MS. KANE:
- 24 | Q. All right. So the member ID on the first line there, what
- 25 does that show?

- 1 **A.** 19028755.
- 2 Q. All right. And which -- which -- what is the name of the
- 3 | member as reflected in the LinkedIn records that you have in
- 4 front of you?
- 5 A. Dima Kolobov.
- 6 Q. What about the second member ID?
- 7 **A.** 30431121.
- 8 Q. And what is the name of that member?
- 9 A. I'm having a hard time finding it on the list. Here it
- 10 is. It is Jaak Parik from Skype.
- 11 | Q. Okay. And what about the next member ID listed there?
- 12 **A.** That is the same?
- 13 Q. And the next unique one.
- 14 **A.** 3711982.
- 15 **Q.** Okay. And what is the member information for that?
- 16 **A.** Ivaylo Kushev from Xtreme Studio.
- 17 **Q.** And the next one?
- 18 **A.** 53584827.
- 19 | Q. All right. And what is the member information for that?
- 20 **A.** Sandra Konings (phonetic) from Interactive 3D.
- 21 **Q.** And the next one?
- 22 **A.** 21068177.
- 23 Q. And what is the member information for that?
- 24 A. That's Mark Konetchy from Facebook.
- 25 Q. So these records here are showing that that .239 IP

- 1 | address connected -- logged into all those different member
- 2 | accounts at LinkedIn?
- 3 **A.** That's correct.
- 4 Q. And the dates that we are looking at here begin
- 5 | February 9th and continue through until April 1st of 2012; is
- 6 | that right?
- 7 **A.** That's right.
- 8 MS. KANE: Now if we can look at another slide.
- 9 BY MS. KANE:
- 10 Q. We have another snapshot that shows just the logins from
- 11 | the .170 IP address.
- 12 MS. KANE: If you can make that bigger. I'm sorry,
- 13 our ability to blow up is for some reason not working, to make
- 14 | these bigger, so that's why I'm reading the information.
- 15 BY MS. KANE:
- 16 Q. So this shows a login from the .170 IP address. And do
- 17 | you recognize the browser ID?
- 18 **A.** Yes, it is the same as the previous two -- one of the two.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the user agent string contains the word
- 20 | "sputnik;" is that right?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- 22 | Q. And this is on March 3rd of 2012; correct?
- 23 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 24 **Q.** And do you see a member ID there?
- 25 **A.** I do.

- 1 Q. And what is the member information for that?
- 2 **A.** The number is 35374254. And that member is Andrew Hawken
- 3 from Web 24.
- 4 Q. So all of the logins that you found in this -- in the
- 5 | spreadsheet from which these are drawn were from the .170 IP
- 6 address and the .239 address had one of those two browser
- 7 | cookies except the one that had no browser cookie; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Now, I would like to show what has been admitted as
- 11 Exhibit 32A. Do you recognize 32A?
- 12 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Is this something you received from LinkedIn?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 | Q. Did you receive this before or after or at the same time
- 16 as 32?
- 17 **A.** I believe this was some time after.
- 18 Q. Okay. So does it reflect different information than 32?
- 19 A. Some, yes.
- 20 **Q.** Okay.
- 21 MS. KANE: And if we can look at the IP history tab --
- 22 | I'm sorry -- the login with timestamps tab, if you could go to
- 23 the top.
- 24 BY MS. KANE:
- 25 Q. And what does this show?

- 1 A. Same as the previous spreadsheets, the logins for those
- 2 member accounts.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And does this show logins associated with the same
- 4 | two cookies that we saw before?
- 5 A. Yes, those cookies are contained in this spreadsheet.
- 6 Q. Now, looking at the user agent string column, are you
- 7 | familiar with the term language pack code?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. Is that something that appears in the user agent string?
- 10 A. Yes, it did.
- 11 | Q. Does it always?
- 12 A. Not always.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, let's look at the member info tab.
- 14 There is a last line there with a member ID that ends in
- 15 | 4412. Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes, Ma'am.
- 17 Q. Was that something that was significant in your
- 18 | investigation?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 **Q.** And why is that?
- 21 A. Because this member created this account using those
- 22 | similar cookies, user agent string and IP addresses.
- 23 **Q.** And what date was it created?
- 24 A. I believe August 3rd, 2012.
- 25 Q. Looking in the column that says connections, how many

connections --

THE COURT: Can I -- can I just -- I know the jury is dying for me to interrupt, would you -- I'm going to ask myself. And if the Defense wants to object, okay.

In simple, two sentences, over the last ten minutes or so, what is it that you think is the significance of what you are telling us about cookies and every code and -- user names, what are we getting at?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. So the cookies that are contained on these spreadsheets outline the same MO as the attacker who breached the LinkedIn systems coming back in and compromising more LinkedIn accounts and creating this last line here, an account with this name and e-mail address.

THE COURT: All right. We are going to break at this point so that you can have a chance to fix the timeline thing.

The jury will get 15 to 20 minutes in the other room. Let me ask Tracy a question. What are we going to do for the lunch today? Do we have that all set up?

THE CLERK: We do.

THE COURT: All right. So we will -- this is not lunch yet. But we will have a lunch later today for you in the other room, but for now you will just take a break. Please remember the admonition. No talking about the case. Thank you.

(Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Everyone be seated. THE COURT: The witness can step down. Take a break as well. I'm going to take my break unless the lawyers need me for something anything. MS. KANE: No, Your Honor. MR. GASNER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: I want you to fix this thing. I believe this is exceedingly hard to follow, and I want you to know my eyesight is just average for a 75-year-old guy. I can't read these tiny numbers on these screens, so maybe some of the other jurors are having the same trouble. But this is a -- this is qobbledyqook. And you need to think of a way to make it come alive if you want the jury to try to grasp -- I think they are trying hard. So just my suggestion. All right. We will -- we will take about a 15 to 20-minute break ourselves. (Recess taken at 10:10 a.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 10:28 a.m.) THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. The lawyers should be aware, I think, that -- we on the Zoom -- at least as it is coming to me -- I can see your elbow. I can see maybe your ear, but your cameras are not picking you up when you are talking. Anyway, so be aware that you are --

we are doing this for the benefit of the public, and I want

the -- to try our best so that we can see you while you are

```
So just be a little bit more mindful of where the
 1
     speaking.
     camera is, and that's all I'm asking.
 2
          Second thing is, did you get the -- get it fixed so that
 3
     we can show that --
 4
 5
             MS. KANE: Your Honor, we are going to go old-school
     and use the ELMO just to blow these up. We are almost done
 6
     with these spreadsheets. It will be just a minute or two, and
 7
     then we will be moving on. We will go over what I think the
 8
     jury couldn't see just very guickly.
 9
                         No.
                               I'm talking about the timeline. They
10
              THE COURT:
11
    never got to see your red box on the timeline.
             MS. KANE: Yes. And I think that -- the CRD, I think,
12
    has figured out how to hide the -- the Zoom faces so that
13
    people will be able to see all the way over there.
14
              THE COURT: Well, if you want to go back to it, you
15
          If you don't, that's up to you. All right. Here comes
16
17
     our jury.
18
          (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
              THE COURT:
                         Welcome back. Be seated. Is our witness
19
20
     ready?
21
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Ms. Kane, are you ready?
23
             MS. KANE:
                         Yes, I am.
                                     Thank you.
              THE COURT: Everybody in the jury, jury room, we all
24
             It looks like it. Okay. Let's qo.
25
     ready?
                                                  Proceed.
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed)

2 BY MS. KANE:

- 3 Q. When we broke, we were discussing Exhibit 32A and the
- 4 | member information that LinkedIn had provided to you.
- 5 MS. KANE: So I would like to use the ELMO for a
- 6 moment, please.
- 7 BY MS. KANE:
- 8 Q. And what we are showing here is the member information tab
- 9 from Exhibit 32A, and I think I was directing your attention to
- 10 | the last line of Exhibit 32A.
- So in the columns at the top are member ID connections,
- 12 | first name, last name and company.
- So on this last line here, we have a member ID and then
- 14 | what is the first name and last name?
- 15 **A.** Jammiro Quatro.
- 16 Q. And the number of connections?
- 17 **A.** Zero.
- 18 **Q.** The company?
- 19 A. None listed.
- 20 | Q. All right. Continuing across to that last entry, there is
- 21 | a column that says job title. What does it say for this entry?
- 22 A. Job title.
- 23 **Q.** Okay. Registration date?
- 24 **A.** August 3rd of 2012.
- 25 | Q. All right. E-mail address?

- 1 A. Chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 2 Q. Okay. Vanity name?
- 3 A. None.
- 4 Q. And location?
- 5 A. Russian Federation.
- 6 Q. Thank you. And why was that significant in your
- 7 investigation?
- 8 A. It was significant for several reasons. The first being
- 9 that account was linked by the malicious logins from Russian
- 10 | IPs, the user agent strings and the cookies, which would
- 11 | indicate it was done by the same individual as well as having
- 12 | zero connections for a job search website. You would expect to
- 13 have some connections with others.
- 14 Q. So was there something different about this entry than the
- 15 other ones on that member information tab?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** What was that?
- 18 **A.** It was the connection between the intrusion and breach and
- 19 | the creation of that account.
- 20 Q. Okay. So were the other entries on that tab ones that you
- 21 | identified as victims?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 **Q.** And what about the chinabig01 account?
- 24 **A.** We believe that was the attacker.
- 25 | Q. Now, I want to go back just very briefly so we can blow up

- 1 for the jury Exhibit 26B, which was the summary that you
- 2 | created from the VPN logs.
- And you were talking about this. And I want to make sure
- 4 | the jury can see what it was that you were talking about. So
- 5 | we will continue with the ELMO for a moment.
- 6 So this is showing, as I scroll through here, only
- 7 | connections from the -- I'm sorry. I said the VPN logs. I
- 8 apologize. Which logs are these?
- 9 **A.** These are the member logs.
- 10 Q. So these are logins to member accounts?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. These are showing only logins from the IP address that
- ends in .239. And you had identified that as one that had
- 14 | connected through Nick Berry's account from the Russian
- 15 | Federation?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. And how many different member accounts did this IP address
- 18 | log into in addition to accessing Nick Berry's VPN account?
- 19 **A.** I believe two.
- 20 Q. Different member --
- 21 A. Excuse me.
- 22 **Q.** -- accounts?
- 23 **A.** Several different member accounts, yes.
- 24 | Q. So more than two?
- 25 **A.** More than two. Only two on the screen at the time.

- 1 Q. I apologize. The total looks like many more than two;
- 2 right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. And those were all the names that you
- 5 had read before?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. So the member IDs that we are looking at here in this
- 8 | member column, does each represent a unique LinkedIn member?
- 9 **A.** Yes, the ones we previously read.
- 10 Q. The ones that you read. And the same for this
- 11 | spreadsheet. This is the login to a member account from the
- 12 .170 address that had also compromised Nick Berry's VPN
- 13 | credentials; right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** And this shows it logging into a member account as well?
- 16 A. It does.
- 17 **Q.** And the date on that member account login is March 3rd,
- 18 | 2012. And the dates we saw for the other IP address start in
- 19 | February 2012?
- 20 **A.** They do.
- 21 **Q.** And they continue through into April of 2012?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. And we see the browser ID and those are two different
- 24 | browser IDs. Those are the two LinkedIn identified for you; is
- 25 | that right?

- 1 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 2 Q. We see the user agent string which is similar in each of
- 3 | these entries; right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And each one contains the word "sputnik"?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. Those are for the .239 login. And the same is true for
- 8 | the .170 login; right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And there is the browser ID which is the same as one of
- 11 | them on the other page?
- 12 **A.** It is.
- 13 Q. Now, if we could show the last thing on these spreadsheets
- 14 | with Exhibit 32A, if we can look at the login tab, at the
- 15 | bottom there it shows the user agent string. Do you see that?
- 16 A. It is not on my screen.
- 17 MS. KANE: Okay.
- 18 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 19 THE COURT: Possibly -- we have the ELMO. Did we
- 20 | switch between the ELMO and the computer?
- 21 MS. KANE: I think we are switched back now.
- 22 **THE CLERK:** We are.
- 23 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 24 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. The spreadsheet just came on the

1 screen. 2 MS. KANE: Great. THE COURT: This is 32A. 3 This is 32A which we were looking at 4 MS. KANE: Yes. 5 before. THE COURT: It should be in the jury box; right? 6 MS. KANE: Yes. It is admitted. 7 BY MS. KANE: 8 In the user agent column there, we were previously 9 discussing the language pack code. And you said that the 10 11 language pack code does not always appear in the user agent string? 12 13 Α. That's right. And do you see the language pack code in any of these user 14 15 agent strings? 16 I see it in several towards the bottom. It is after the 17 windows NT6.1. The "ru" would indicate the Russian language 18 pack. 19 And those appear -- that designation appears in that last 20 set, which is the user agent string that ends in sputnik 21 2.1.0.18 --22 That's correct. Α. -- is that right? Thank you. 23 You are welcome. 24 Α.

MS. KANE: Okay. We can put that down.

BY MS. KANE:

- 2 Q. All right. I would like to move on from the spreadsheets.
- 3 So the Russian IP addresses that we were just looking at,
- 4 | did you conduct further investigation regarding those IP
- 5 addresses?

- 6 **A.** I did.
- 7 Q. And the two IP addresses that we identified that we were
- 8 | looking at ended in .239 and .170; correct?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, are you able to use U.S. legal process to obtain
- 11 | information about those IP addresses?
- 12 **A.** Unfortunately, I'm not.
- 13 **Q.** And why not?
- 14 **A.** Because those IP addresses resolve to a foreign country.
- 15 Q. So if they had resolved to the United States, what would
- 16 | you have been able to do?
- 17 **A.** I could have obtained a subpoena for subscriber records.
- 18 Q. So were you able to obtain any subscriber records for
- 19 | those two IP addresses?
- 20 A. Using U.S. legal process, no.
- 21 **Q.** So what did you do?
- 22 | A. I obtained an MLAT, a Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty,
- 23 request for subscriber information for those IP addresses.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And what is an MLAT, MLAT or Mutual Legal
- 25 Assistance Treaty request?

- 1 A. As previous agents have testified, it's a treaty that the
- 2 | United States has with various countries that allows us to use
- 3 probable cause here in the United States that is vetted for a
- 4 | similar crime in the foreign country, and the foreign country
- 5 | would then provide the results that we are seeking.
- 6 Q. And what types of investigation can you conduct through
- 7 MLAT requests?
- 8 A. You can request subscriber records. You can request
- 9 contents of accounts or you can request the search of devices.
- 10 It depends country to country.
- 11 | Q. So what did you request in your Mutual Legal Assistance
- 12 | Treaty request?
- 13 A. I requested subscriber information for, I believe, five IP
- 14 | addresses that resolved to Russia including the .170 and the
- 15 .239 IPs.
- 16 **Q.** And you said there were five IP addresses. What was --
- 17 | what led you to ask about the other three?
- 18 | A. So the others were identified in the log files as also
- 19 being anomalous but not as anomalous as the 170 or 239.
- 20 **Q.** Were those the only five Russian IP addresses that were
- 21 | identified in the LinkedIn logs?
- 22 **A.** No, they were not.
- 23 | Q. Okay. So why did you request those five and not all of
- 24 them?
- 25 **A.** As I previously stated, we have to request a foreign

- 1 | country's assistance. And so if you request, say, a hundred IP
- 2 | addresses, it is a much higher burden for them to go through
- 3 | the process of producing those records. So you want to limit
- 4 | it to the important items only.
- 5 | Q. And when you make a request to a U.S. company through a
- 6 subpoena, how long does it usually take to get records back?
- 7 **A.** On average, two to three weeks.
- 8 Q. And for a Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty request, how long
- 9 does it usually take?
- 10 A. On the shortest end, I have seen 8 to 9 months. On the
- 11 longest end, 5 years.
- 12 Q. If a country to which you make a Mutual Assistance Legal
- 13 | Treaty request doesn't provide the information, what could you
- 14 do?
- 15 **A.** We can -- I can work with the Department of Justice and
- 16 | our international -- Office of International Affairs to request
- 17 | again of the foreign government; but we can't compel them to
- 18 provide us the records.
- 19 Q. Can you compel a U.S. provider to provide you records?
- 20 A. Yes, we can.
- 21 | Q. So I would like to show you what has been marked and
- 22 | should be in your box as Exhibit 79.
- 23 And while you are there, why don't you grab, 79, 80, 85
- 24 and 85A.
- 25 (Pause in proceedings.)

- 1 **A.** 79 and what are the other numbers?
- 2 **Q.** 80, 85 and 85A. Great.
- 3 So do you recognize 79?
- 4 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 5 **Q.** And what is it?
- 6 A. This is an MLAT return from the Russian Federation.
- 7 | Q. And is that a response to the one -- to the request that
- 8 you made?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And did this response provide the information you had
- 11 requested?
- 12 **A.** No, it did not.
- 13 **Q.** What -- generally, what did it include?
- 14 A. It included public information about the IP addresses I
- 15 | had requested and the companies that owned those IP addresses.
- 16 Q. Was that information that you could already obtain on your
- 17 own?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- 19 **Q.** So what did you do in response?
- 20 **A.** We followed up through the Department of Justice, our
- 21 | Office of International Affairs and asked again for the Russian
- 22 government to produce the records.
- 23 | Q. And I would like to show you Exhibit 80. Okay. Do you
- 24 | recognize that?
- 25 **A.** I do.

Q. And what is it?

- 2 **A.** This is the follow-up response from the Russian
- 3 Federation.

- 4 | Q. Okay. And what is the date on that response?
- 5 A. It looks like the date is October 30th of 2013.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did that response provide the subscriber
- 7 | information you had requested?
- 8 A. Yes, it did.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, did you consider your request to be
- 10 | completely fulfilled when you had received that response?
- 11 **A.** I did; however, one of the pages was blurry.
- 12 Q. Okay. So what did you do?
- 13 A. Again, I followed up with the Department of Justice and
- 14 reached out to the Office of International Affairs. Reached
- 15 out to the Russian government and asked for a resend of that
- 16 page.
- 17 | Q. I would like to show you Exhibit 85. Do you recognize
- 18 | Exhibit 85?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 20 **Q.** Okay. What is that?
- 21 **A.** This is the -- the follow-up with the clearer page --
- 22 **Q.** Okay.
- 23 **A.** -- that we requested.
- 24 Q. And 85A, do you recognize that?
- 25 **A.** Yes, I do.

```
Q. And what is that?
```

- A. This is the English translation of the MLAT return from the Russian Federation.
- **Q.** Okay.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

5 MS. KANE: And at this time I would like to read a 6 stipulation. (Reading:)

"Government Exhibit 85 is a response by the Russian government to a Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty request by the United States in the Russian language.

Government Exhibit 85A is an accurate translation of the document from the Russian language to the English language."

THE COURT: So stipulated?

MR. GASNER: So stipulated, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That is evidence in the case, what you have just heard, even though it was spoken by the attorneys. If it is a stipulation, it counts as evidence.

Okay. Very well. Next.

MS. KANE: The United States offers Exhibits 85 and 85A into evidence?

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Received in evidence, both.

(Trial Exhibits 85 and 85A received in evidence.)

24 BY MS. KANE:

Q. So you testified that Exhibit 85, the Russian response in

Exhibit 85A, the English translation, provided the subscriber 1 records that you had requested? 2 Yes, it did. Α. 3 And let's show Exhibit 85A. 4 5 JUROR SERPA: Your Honor, the jury can't see the witness in the back because the monitors are off. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. We need Tracy. Are the monitors off completely? 8 JUROR SERPA: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Isn't that odd. I wonder how that 10 11 happened. THE WITNESS: Ours are working. 12 13 (Pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Did that do any good? 14 15 JUROR SERPA: No. There is a red light. Is there 16 like a power button? Maybe someone switched the -- flicked the 17 switch on the floor. 18 THE COURT: Do one of the lawyers think they can help? (Pause in proceedings.) 19 20 THE COURT: Well, does it look like the power is off? 21 JUROR SERPA: Yes, it looks like the power is off. 22 THE COURT: Is there a standard power button someplace? 23 24 MS. KANE: Your Honor, I tried re-setting both of the 25 power strips over there; and it didn't fix the problem.

```
think the power might be further down the line.
 1
              THE COURT: But not the power strip but the -- is
 2
     there a power button on the --
 3
              JUROR SERPA: It looks like it but it's not letting
 4
 5
     me.
              MR. GASNER: Your Honor, it looks like there is power
 6
     coming to the machine. There are lights on but it looks like
 7
     the actual screen is not -- it looks like it is -- the power
 8
    button on the screen is not turned on somehow.
 9
              MS. KANE: I think we have got it. There should be a
10
     remote behind there.
11
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
12
              THE COURT: Just for my feeble edification, what did
13
     you do?
14
15
                                (Laughter)
16
              MS. KANE:
                         I pressed power.
17
              THE COURT: On what?
18
              MS. KANE:
                         There was a remote behind the monitor.
              THE COURT: What was it doing off? What was the unit
19
20
     doing off to begin with?
21
              JUROR WOODROW: Maybe it timed out.
                         It must have been some sort of time issue.
22
              MS. KANE:
23
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MS. KANE: I will hold onto this so if it goes out
24
```

25

again, we will guickly resume.

MILLER - DIRECT / KANE THE COURT: All right. Thank you for spotting it and 1 thank you for fixing it. All right. Let's go back to where we 2 were so that we can catch the jury up on your evidence. 3 MS. KANE: All right. 4 5 BY MS. KANE: We were looking at the response that the FBI received to 6 Q. its Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty request to the Russian 7 government? 8 That's correct. 9 Α. And the response that you received was from the Russian 10 11 government, but what was the Russian government actually sending you? 12 Subscriber information. 13 Α. And the subscriber information, what is the source of that 14 Q. subscriber information? 15 16 From the actual provider in Russia. 17 MR. GASNER: Objection. Lack of foundation. Hearsay. THE COURT: All right. It's true, that is all hearsay 18 19 unless he is an expert. How would he know that unless he has 20 special knowledge, training and so forth? 21 MS. KANE: Well, let's look at the document then. 22 **THE COURT:** Same problem. It is hearsay. I'm going

should be aware this is testimony that requires specialized

training and expertise under the rules.

But based on our prior conversations, you

23

24

25

to let him answer.

So I will allow him because he has the training, but that 1 opens the door to some other things that we have already 2 discussed. So that's my ruling. Please go ahead. 3 BY MS. KANE: 4 5 So you received a document within the response, and I'm Q. 6 showing you one of the pages in the response. MS. KANE: And if we can blow up that very top section 7 there. 8 (Pause in proceedings.) 9 MS. KANE: I'm going to use the ELMO again. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. MS. KANE: Do you have Exhibit 85 and 85A? 12 THE COURT: Do we need Stefan? He just came in. 13 Tracy, do we need Stefan? Here is Stefan right here. I think 14 15 we fixed it. 16 BY MS. KANE: 17 All right. So we have the top of the -- this is the Q. 18 translated version of the document. And at the top there, what 19 does it say? 20 Recipient. Α. It says National Cable Networks; is that right? 21 Q. Yes, it does. 22 Α.

And you had made your request based on the publicly

available information for those IP addresses; is that right?

25 Α. Yes.

23

```
Case 3:16-cr-00440-WHA Document 253 Filed 07/10/20 Page 88 of 171
          And what did the publicly available information say about
 1
     Q.
     the provider for that IP address?
 2
          That it was National Cable Networks.
     Α.
 3
 4
     Q.
          Okay.
 5
                          If you can go back out.
              MS. KANE:
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
 6
 7
              MR. GASNER: Your Honor, while they are doing this,
     may we have a brief sidebar?
 8
                          Well, under the rules I'm supposed to take
 9
              THE COURT:
     you into the jury room, I guess, to do a -- I take one lawyer
10
11
     from each side into the back here so that -- because it is
     impossible for us to -- we are not supposed to get close.
12
```

MR. GASNER: Your Honor, I would just like to address an issue, yes.

is it important enough to warrant that?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. I want the jury to stay put.

No talking among yourselves. I will go into the jury room with one lawyer from each side and we will hear the sidebar.

(The following proceedings were heard at the sidebar:)

MR. GASNER: Your Honor, I'm hesitant to even do this because I don't want to highlight this information necessarily, but I have received expert disclosures from the Government regarding this witness' expertise, and it has nothing to do with his expertise on Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties. It has nothing to do with his expertise with regard to how these

records are produced.

The very narrow scope of his expertise seemed to be just the -- just with regards to how databases are bought and sold and something else with regard to investigating how these databases are extracted from computers, for example.

But the scope of his expertise was limited in their disclosure and had nothing to do with this area of inquiry.

I believe this is hearsay, double hearsay; and it is patently inadmissible. And it is frustrating that they are being able to get into it right now.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, these are business records, foreign business records, that are admissible as an exception to the hearsay rule.

THE COURT: There is no testimony that supports that.

How could anybody tell us what is admissible -- I mean, what is of public record in the Soviet Union?

MS. KANE: Your Honor, I will go back and lay that foundation we do have because the exhibit came in without it.

I didn't go through that, and I will go back through and I will lay the foundation for the business records. We do not intend for this to be part of his expert testimony.

THE COURT: You may not intend it, but it does require expert testimony to get this in.

MS. KANE: He simply -- just as we would if he subpoenaed business records from a United States company and

```
then we would put them in through the agent. This is the same testimony, just foreign business records. That's all we are intending to do. We are just trying to get the business records up on the screen. He is not opining about this.
```

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to let you ask these questions because in my opinion I believe he has the expertise to interpret the materials and to get them in.

There is a separate question of whether or not there was a proper disclosure that you would be using him in this way. I don't -- without interrupting the trial and so forth -- this is going to have to be a Rule 29 consideration.

I'm going to let you do it. But I believe that Mr. Gasner may have a valid point that this is all expert testimony. I'm going to just give you a couple of examples. You have had him exonerate that guy -- I forgot his name now -- the guy at LinkedIn.

MR. GASNER: Nick Berry.

THE COURT: Yeah, Berry. He gave an opinion that he is innocent.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, he did not say he was innocent. He said there was no IP connection to Nick Berry -- he didn't find any IP records that connected to Nick Berry.

THE COURT: You said: Did you find any evidence linking him to the intrusion? And he said: Absolutely not.

So I mean that to me is he is innocent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. KANE:

```
So this quy is up there using his expertise to interpret
the materials. And if he had been properly qualified, probably
I would have allowed all of that insofar -- but I don't know
that he was properly disclosed. But I'm -- Mr. Gasner, I'm
going to let you make that under Rule 29 later but not now.
     I'm going to let the Government put on its case, but I
have one last thing to say. I think this does open the door to
a lot of the kind of thing that Mr. Gasner wants to ask about
the scope of the investigation.
     When it becomes his turn, subject to Rule 403 -- I'm not
saying everything you want to do. I'm saying some of the stuff
you want to do I'm going to allow on cross-examination.
the best we can do.
     Continue on. Objection noted. Overruled for the reasons
        Let's go back on the record.
stated.
        MR. GASNER: Thank you, Your Honor. And, again, I
don't want to highlight it so I ask for a continuing objection
on this area.
         THE COURT:
                    Fine.
                           That's even better.
     (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
         THE COURT:
                    Please continue, Ms. Kane.
                   Thank you, Your Honor.
         MS. KANE:
```

When we broke, we were looking at the English translation

of Exhibit 85 which is in -- the English translation is Exhibit

1 85A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

And what we have done here is blow up a portion related to the IP address that ends in .239. And this was the document which at the top said National Cable Networks. And what does this show as the subscriber information for that IP address?

- A. The name of that subscriber was Yevgeniy Alexandrovich
 Nikulin residing in Moscow on Kantemirovskaya Street.
- 8 Q. Thank you. Now, if we can look at the second part
 9 there -- and this is continuing on the same page -- can you
 10 read the subscriber information for the IP address ending in
 11 .170?
- 12 A. The name on the account was Inga Viktorovna Tonkikh from
 13 Moscow on Sevanskaya Street.
- Q. Did you also -- in this, Exhibit 85 and 85A -- receive records for the other three IP addresses that you requested information for?
- 17 **A.** I did.

25

- Q. And what was the subscriber information for those? If you could just summarize from Exhibit 85A.
- 20 A. I believe one came back to a company Istresd (phonetic).

 21 One came back to Yandex, which is a Russian search provider

 22 similar to Google here. It was an indexing machine, so one

 23 that they use to comb the internet. And the third came back to

 24 a different individual.

THE COURT: Again, the interpreters I know think that

- 1 | your voice trails off at the very end and it does a little.
- 2 The jury may have the same issue, so please keep your voice up
- 3 at the end of the sentences.
- 4 THE WITNESS: My apologies, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Not a problem. Let's go ahead.
- 6 BY MS. KANE:
- 7 | Q. Thank you. And if you could grab Exhibit 86 from the box
- 8 there, it's right here. I apologize. Do you recognize Exhibit
- 9 86?
- 10 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 11 **Q.** And what is that?
- 12 **A.** This is the certificate of authenticity for the business
- 13 | records from the MLAT return.
- 14 Q. So did that accompany Exhibit 85 when you received it?
- 15 A. Yes, it did.
- 16 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 17 MS. KANE: Your Honor, the United States offers
- 18 | Exhibit 86 into evidence.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Any objection?
- 20 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Received in evidence.
- 22 (Trial Exhibit 86 received in evidence.)
- 23 | THE COURT: Are you going to put that on the screen?
- 24 MS. KANE: Yes. We can show Exhibit 86.
- 25 BY MS. KANE:

- 1 Q. So is this the type of -- this is the -- a certificate of
- 2 authenticity of business records that accompanied your -- the
- 3 response that you received to your Mutual Legal Assistance
- 4 | Treaty request; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. And the response you received contained subscriber records
- 7 for IP addresses?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And the certificate states a couple of things about those
- 10 records. Can you read those?
- 11 **A.** Sure. The first line says: Were made at or near the time
- 12 of occurrence of the matters set forth therein by from
- 13 | information transmitted a person with knowledge of those
- 14 matters.
- 2. Were kept in the course of regularly conducted
- 16 | business activity.
- 3. Were made by the said business activity as a regular
- 18 | practice?
- 19 And 4. If not original records, are duplicates of
- 20 original records.
- 21 Q. So you mentioned -- we saw some of the names --
- 22 MS. KANE: We can put that down.
- 23 BY MS. KANE:
- 24 | Q. We saw some of the names that were included in the
- 25 subscriber records that you received. Were those the first

- 1 | times you had seen those names in the course of your
- 2 investigation?
- 3 A. Yes, it was.
- 4 Q. Did you ask -- sorry, what did you do with that
- 5 information?
- 6 A. I put it in the case file and noted it and tried to follow
- 7 | up on as much of the information contained within as possible.
- 8 Q. All right. I would like to go back to the initial posting
- 9 of the LinkedIn data that we discussed earlier. And that was
- 10 Exhibit 5.
- Do you recall that there was a username and an e-mail
- 12 | address associated with that posting?
- 13 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 14 **Q.** And did you investigate that information?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 | Q. Okay. So did you identify a person associated with that
- 17 | username and e-mail address?
- 18 A. Yes, the username was dwdm and the e-mail address was
- 19 dwdm@rambler.ru. And I identified that individual as Alexsey
- 20 Sipkin.
- 21 | Q. And did you investigate an Alexsey Sipkin?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And what did you learn about Alexsey Sipkin?
- 24 A. That he was a Russian National who lived in St. Petersburg
- 25 | who worked for the company Alcatel-Lucent as an optical

- 1 engineer.
- 2 | Q. And did you obtain any information in your investigation
- 3 of Alexsey Sipkin?
- 4 A. Yes. I subpoenaed as many records as I could find for
- 5 U.S. companies as well as reaching out to Alcatel-Lucent.
- 6 Q. And what did you receive as evidence, if anything?
- 7 **A.** I received subscriber information for online accounts with
- 8 | IP histories as well as an image of his Alcatel-Lucent work
- 9 computer.
- 10 | Q. And I would like you to look at Exhibit 97 in the box
- 11 | there. Do you recognize that?
- 12 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. What is it?
- 14 A. That is the hard drive from Alcatel-Lucent.
- 15 **Q.** And what did it contain?
- 16 **A.** An image of Mr. Sipkin's work computer.
- 17 | Q. When you made the request under the Mutual Legal
- 18 | Assistance Treaty to Russia, had you already identified
- 19 Mr. Sipkin in your investigation?
- 20 **A.** Yes, I did. We identified him pretty early on.
- 21 Q. So I would like to look back at Exhibit 85A.
- 22 MS. KANE: If we can show that.
- 23 **BY MS. KANE:**
- 24 | Q. This is the first page of 85A. And there is a sentence in
- 25 | there that contains Alexsey Sipkin's name. Can you read that

1 | sentence?

- 2 A. Yes. It says: The Office of the Prosecutor General of
- 3 | the Russian Federation would like to express our respect to the
- 4 U.S. Department of Justice and pursuant to the Treaty between
- 5 | the United States of America and the Russian Federation on
- 6 Mutual Legal Assistance in criminal matters of June 17, 1999,
- 7 and based on your request, hereby forwards to you copies of
- 8 | documents obtained in pursuance of the request of the U.S.
- 9 Department of Justice to provide legal assistance on the
- 10 | criminal case instituted against A. Sipkin based on the fact of
- 11 | illegal access to computer information.
- 12 Q. And was Alexsey Sipkin listed as a subscriber of any of
- 13 | the IP addresses in that Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty
- 14 response?
- 15 **A.** No, he was not.
- 16 Q. And do you know why his name appears there in that
- 17 request?
- 18 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 19 **Q.** Why is that?
- 20 **A.** The title of the request was "The Investigation of Alexsey
- 21 | Sipkin" when I made the request.
- 22 Q. Just to clarify, so when you made the request to the --
- 23 | through the Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty, you had identified
- 24 | Alexsey Sipkin; but you had not come across the name of
- 25 | Yevgeniy Alexandrovich Nikulin yet?

1 A. That's correct.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. All right. I would like to turn to a new topic.
- 3 MS. KANE: You can put that down.
- THE COURT: Can I ask a clarifying question because I thought I saw that name, the Defendant's name, in one of the documents a few minutes ago. And now you are suggesting that he had not yet seen it. I'm not quite sure what -- do you understand what I'm getting at?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: In two sentences or less, explain my confusion.
 - THE WITNESS: When I made the request to the Russian Federation, I gave the name Alexsey Sipkin along with the IP addresses used in the intrusion. I received the results from the Russian Federation that included the Defendant's name.
 - THE COURT: All right. I got it now. Okay. Thanks.

 Go ahead.
- 18 MS. KANE: Thank you.
- 19 BY MS. KANE:
- Q. Were you involved -- well, Exhibits 32, 32A and 33, the
- 21 | spreadsheets that we looked at from LinkedIn, those were
- 22 | important to your investigation; is that right?
- 23 **A.** Yes, they were.
- 24 Q. Okay. Those included information about members of
- 25 LinkedIn that had potentially had their accounts compromised;

1 | is that right?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 | Q. And did you contact anyone on that list?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 **Q.** What did you do?
- 6 A. I attempted to contact the U.S. based companies on the
- 7 | list initially and reach out to them to notify that their
- 8 | employees had been targeted and were potentially compromised or
- 9 | if not already, may be in the future.
- 10 | Q. And did those company include Dropbox?
- 11 A. Yes, it did.
- 12 Q. All right. And what happened when you contacted Dropbox?
- 13 **A.** Dropbox was very appreciative of the information. They
- 14 | indicated at the time they had not seen anything but would let
- 15 | me know if they did.
- 16 | Q. Did you hear anything else from them?
- 17 | A. I did. Within about two weeks they called me back
- 18 | urgently to tell me the information I provided was accurate and
- 19 | that they noticed some activity on their platform.
- 20 **Q.** And what did you do in response?
- 21 **A.** I interviewed members of Dropbox.
- 22 **Q.** And did that include Cory Louie?
- 23 **A.** Yes, it did.
- 24 Q. Did they provide you any data?
- 25 **A.** At that time we provided legal process for subscriber

- 1 records for some of the activity, and they gave us some
- 2 identifiers up front.
- 3 | Q. And then I would like to show you what has been admitted
- 4 as Exhibit 142.
- 5 | (Pause in proceedings.)
- 6 BY MS. KANE:
- 7 | Q. Is Exhibit 142 the type of data that you received from
- 8 | Dropbox at that time?
- 9 **A.** At that time, no, not in this format at least.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry. When I said -- I said the type of data.
- 11 A. Yes, this is the type of data.
- 12 | Q. Thank you. And approximately when was it that you
- 13 | received this from Dropbox, this type of data?
- 14 A. I want to say probably July of 2012.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so that included -- the data you received at
- 16 | the time included IP addresses, user agent strings, and what
- 17 else?
- 18 A. IP user agent strings, subscriber records for an account
- 19 and, I believe, just a number of accounts that might have been
- 20 Dropbox accounts that have been compromised.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So now I would like you to take a look at Exhibits
- 22 | 38 and 146. Do you recognize those?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 24 **Q.** All right. What are they?
- 25 **A.** These are subscriber records from Dropbox for the account

associated with the e-mail chinabig01@gmail.com. 1 And what is 146? 2 Q. The certificate of business records from Dropbox for those 3 records. 4 5 MS. KANE: Your Honor, the United States offers Exhibit 38 into evidence. 6 MR. GASNER: Submitted. 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 8 MR. GASNER: Submitted. 9 THE COURT: 38? 10 11 MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Received in evidence. 12 (Trial Exhibit 38 received in evidence.) 13 MS. KANE: Let's show Exhibit 38. 14 BY MS. KANE: 15 16 And what is this that we are looking at here? This is the subscriber information for that account. 17 Α. 18 And what -- and the account you requested was Q. 19 chinabiq01@qmail.com. But these records are from Dropbox; 20 right? 21 That's correct. Upon my initial meeting with Dropbox, Α. they informed us that they had identified this account as being 22 associated with the activity they identified. 23 MR. GASNER: Objection. Hearsay. Lack of foundation. 24

THE COURT: Why is that not hearsay?

1 MS. KANE: Your Honor, we can move on. We don't -- we

- 2 can --
- 3 THE COURT: The answer is stricken because it is
- 4 hearsay.
- 5 BY MS. KANE:
- 6 Q. You made a request to Dropbox for subscriber records
- 7 | associated with the e-mail account chinabig01@gmail.com; is
- 8 | that right?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. And this is what you received back?
- 11 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 12 **Q.** What is the name on the account?
- 13 A. Jammis Gurus.
- 14 Q. So I would like to zoom in here. What is the rest of this
- 15 | document that we are looking at?
- 16 **A.** This is IP history for the account which would include
- 17 | account logins from -- whether it is the website or the Dropbox
- 18 | client you could install on your computer with the IP address,
- 19 date and time, the location and user agent string.
- 20 **Q.** And this is all part of the same business records you
- 21 | received from Dropbox; is that right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. And were there any -- anything significant in here for
- 24 your investigation?
- 25 **A.** Yes, there was.

Q. What was that?

- 2 A. There were logins, one, from the Russian Federation; and,
- 3 | two, the user agent string was almost identical, if not
- 4 | identical, to the one used to compromise LinkedIn.
- 5 Q. Now, was there a user agent string for every login in
- 6 here?

- 7 **A.** No, there was not.
- 8 Q. Did you investigate this Dropbox account further? Did you
- 9 request any other records?
- 10 **A.** I did. I obtained a search warrant for the contents of
- 11 | the account.
- 12 **Q.** And what, if anything, was in the account?
- 13 **A.** There was a text file in the account. I believe it was
- 14 | named zzzczz or some variation, but it had no data in the file.
- 15 Q. So this is the second -- so you had identified that an
- 16 | account was created at LinkedIn with the chinabiq01@qmail.com
- 17 | e-mail address and then identified an account at Dropbox with
- 18 | the same e-mail address at this point?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** Did you -- sorry, when it says "qmail.com," what company
- 21 | is that associated with?
- 22 **A.** That is associated with Google.
- 23 | Q. So did you request any information from Google regarding
- 24 | that account?
- 25 **A.** I did.

- Q. What did you do?
- 2 A. I served a subpoena for subscriber records for that
- 3 account.

- 4 Q. And did you -- you did that once. Did you do it ever
- 5 again?
- 6 A. I did it -- excuse me. I did it very -- a lot of times,
- 7 | numerous search warrants, numerous subpoenas.
- 8 Q. All right. So in the boxes there, we have two sets of
- 9 documents. And those are -- one set contains Exhibits 125, 14,
- 10 | 35, 41, 47, 52, 54, 62B and 117. And there should be another
- 11 set that includes exhibits 2, 15, 34, 42, 46, 53, 55, 63, and
- 12 | 116A?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And what are those?
- 15 A. These are the certificates of authenticity for each time I
- 16 requested records from Google, whether it was a search warrant
- 17 or subpoena.
- 18 | Q. So that was the second list that I read you there starting
- 19 | with Exhibit 2; is that right?
- 20 **A.** That's correct.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And when you say "certificate of authenticity,"
- 22 | that is authenticating those records as business records of
- 23 Google?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 | Q. That is similar to the one that you mentioned for Dropbox

- 1 | that we just saw?
- 2 **A.** That's correct.
- 3 | Q. And similar to the one you received with your Russian MLAT
- 4 | request response; is that right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Then the other set contains what?
- 7 A. This other set contains the subscriber records; so the
- 8 | name, date of account, registration and the login history or IP
- 9 history for the account.
- 10 Q. Okay. And those are all for the account
- 11 | chinabig01@gmail.com; is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 13 **Q.** All right.
- 14 MS. KANE: So the United States moves to admit Exhibit
- 15 | 125, 14, 35, 41, 47, 52, 54, 62B, and 117?
- 16 **THE COURT:** Any objection?
- 17 MR. GASNER: No objection.
- 18 **THE COURT:** All right. All of those are received in
- 19 evidence.
- 20 (Trial Exhibits 125, 14, 35, 41, 47, 52, 54, 62B and
- 21 117 received in evidence.)
- 22 BY MS. KANE:
- 23 Q. All right. We will return to the content of those
- 24 exhibits. But with regard to that account, in addition to
- 25 | those subscriber records, did you obtain content of the

account?

- 2 A. Yes, I did --
- 3 Q. And when --
- 4 A. -- on several occasions.
- 5 | Q. And when I say "content," what do you understand that to
- 6 | mean?

- 7 **A.** That is the information in the account. So for this
- 8 | instance it is a Gmail, Google, account. It would be the
- 9 e-mail contents, search history; things you have typed in
- 10 google.com, web activity, that kind of information.
- 11 **Q.** So that included e-mail messages, for example?
- 12 A. Yes, e-mail content.
- 13 | Q. If you could grab, please, Exhibits 3A and continuing and
- 14 also Exhibit 1. So what is Exhibit 1?
- 15 **A.** It is the results of the search warrant for the
- 16 | chinabig01@gmail.com account.
- 17 | Q. And so that's something you received from Google?
- 18 A. Yes, I received this data from Google.
- 19 Q. And Exhibit 2 from the previous group of exhibits you
- 20 looked at --
- 21 THE COURT: Wait a minute. I don't understand that
- 22 | part. Are you saying Exhibit 1 was a search warrant return?
- 23 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: But usually that is executed by a law
- 25 | enforcement officer.

```
MS. KANE: Your Honor, I think what he means is response, the response from the company. Not the return that is filed with the Court.
```

THE COURT: You mean a subpoena of some sort or are you talking about a search warrant?

MS. KANE: Special Agent Miller is testifying that he executed a search warrant with Google for account contents and received account contents from Google. And that response is what is on that exhibit.

THE COURT: So Google is the one who responded to the search warrant, like it was a subpoena or something?

MS. KANE: I will let Special Agent Miller do the testimony.

THE COURT: Is that right?

THE WITNESS: I obtained a search warrant for the content, Your Honor; and they provided me the data in the account.

18 **THE COURT:** Okay.

19 BY MS. KANE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. When you say "they," who is they?
- 21 A. "They" being Google.
- Q. All right. So you obtained a search warrant for the account that you served on the company, Google?
- 24 A. Yes, I served it on Google.
- 25 | Q. Is that typically what you do for e-mail account search

- 1 warrants?
- 2 A. Yes, it would be the same with a Hotmail which is
- 3 Microsoft or Yahoo! account.
- 4 Q. All right. So Exhibit 1 -- and then if you can look at
- 5 | Exhibit 2 from the previous group that we were looking at --
- 6 and just remind us of what Exhibit 2 is.
- 7 A. Record -- Exhibit 2 is the certificate of authenticity
- 8 | verifying that the records are accurate from Google.
- 9 Q. And when you say "accurate," it is verifying that they are
- 10 business records; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes, that is correct.
- 12 Q. Thank you. And that is something -- did you receive that
- 13 | with Exhibit 1?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 **Q.** Okay.
- 16 MS. KANE: The United States moves for admission of
- 17 Exhibit 1.
- 18 MR. GASNER: No objection, Your Honor.
- 19 MS. KANE: I'm sorry. Strike that. Please -- we
- 20 don't need to admit Exhibit 1. I'm just going to admit the
- 21 | individual e-mail messages.
- 22 | THE COURT: Well, what are you moving to admit?
- 23 MS. KANE: The next thing that I talk about.
- 24 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 25 MS. KANE: Yes. Thank you.

BY MS. KANE: 1 So you have Exhibits 3A, B and E there in a folder. 2 Q. Yes. Α. 3 Do you recognize those? 4 Q. 5 These are e-mails from within the chinabig01@gmail.com Α. account. 6 All right. And you received those with -- in Exhibit 1 in 7 Q. the response you got from Google; is that right? 8 Yes, I did. 9 Α. MS. KANE: I will move to admit Exhibits 3A, B and E. 10 11 MR. GASNER: No objection. THE COURT: 3A, B and C, all admitted. 12 13 MS. KANE: It is E, Your Honor. A, B and E. THE COURT: All right, alpha, beta, echo. All right. 14 15 All in evidence now. 16 (Trial Exhibits 3A, 3B and 3E received in evidence.) MS. KANE: All right. 17 18 THE COURT: But not Number 1. 19 MS. KANE: Not Number 1. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Not Number 1. Usually the lawyers like 21 number 1 to be the zinger, you know, the best document in the 22 case. (Laughter) 23 THE COURT: But the Government doesn't want Number 1 24

in evidence. All right. Just a little thing to keep your

Case 3:16-cr-00440-WHA Document 253 Filed 07/10/20 Page 110 of 171 MILLER - DIRECT / KANE 1 interest up. Okay. Keep going. BY MS. KANE: 2 All right. So if we could show Exhibit 3A, please. 3 Q. MS. KANE: If we can just blow up the header 4 5 information. 6 THE COURT: Now, these are part -- are these the e-mails from within -- is that what we are showing now? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 (Pause in proceedings.) 10 11 THE COURT: All right. I wish you would settle on what you are going to put on the screen. 12 BY MS. KANE: 13 So this is an e-mail message that you found in the 14 Q. 15 chinabig01@gmail.com account; right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And what is the date on the e-mail? Q. 18 January 2nd of 2012. Α. All right. And who is it addressed to? Q.

- 19
- 20 Chinabiq01. A.
- 21 If we can show Exhibit 3B. Q.
- 22 THE COURT: But that was in Russian or something.
- 23 What did the actual e-mail say?
- MS. KANE: Your Honor, we just needed to look at the 24
- 25 top to see that chinabig01 part.

- Case 3:16-cr-00440-WHA Document 253 Filed 07/10/20 Page 111 of 171 MILLER - DIRECT / KANE 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. KANE: Thank you. BY MS. KANE: 3 So Exhibit 3B has already been admitted into evidence. 4 5 This was, again, an e-mail message you found in the chinabig01 account; is that right? 6 7 Α. Yes. And who is this addressed to? 8 Q. Jammis. 9 Α. And what is the date on this e-mail message? 10 11 April 10th, 2012. Α. MS. KANE: We can show Exhibit 3B -- I'm sorry. 12 THE COURT: You moved into evidence A, B and E; not C. 13 3C is already in evidence. 14 MS. KANE: THE COURT: 15 Okay. Yes, it is. You are right. 16 BY MS. KANE: And this is another e-mail message you found in the Q. 18 chinabig01@gmail.com account? 19 Yes. Α.
- 17
- 20 And who is this addressed to?
- It is addressed to Jammis again. 21 Α.
- And what is the date on this? 22
- 23 May 24th, 2012. Α.
- 24 MS. KANE: Let's show Exhibit 3D which is also already
- 25 in evidence.

BY MS. KANE:

- 2 Q. This is another message that you found in the account?
- 3 **A.** Yes.

- 4 Q. Okay. And what is the date on this one?
- 5 **A.** June 13th, 2012.
- 6 Q. And then Exhibit 3E, this is another message you found in
- 7 | the account?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. And what is the date on this one?
- 10 **A.** August 3rd, 2012.
- 11 **Q.** And to whom is this addressed?
- 12 **A.** Jammiro Quatro.
- 13 | Q. And this is an e-mail from LinkedIn; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 **Q.** There was previous testimony that these were automatically
- 16 generated, the messages like this one from LinkedIn. Were most
- 17 of the messages that you found in the account contents ones
- 18 | that appear to be automatically generated?
- 19 A. Yes, they were.
- 20 **Q.** So after you reviewed -- and sorry -- we saw that they
- 21 | were addressed to a number of different names; is that right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. So we saw a Jammis, a Jammiro and a Chinabig01?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 **Q.** So at that point in your investigation when you reviewed

- 1 these e-mail messages, did you know who the true owner of this
- 2 | account was based on the content?
- 3 A. I did not yet.
- 4 Q. Did you gain any other leads from reviewing the contents
- 5 of this account?
- 6 **A.** I did.
- 7 | Q. And how did you identify those?
- 8 A. I identified other companies that sent these automated
- 9 | messages to the account that were within the United States that
- 10 | I could easily follow up on.
- 11 | Q. And what is the one of the companies that you followed up
- 12 on?
- 13 **A.** Afraid.org.
- 14 **Q.** What is Afraid.org?
- 15 **A.** Afraid.org provides a service to its users that allows you
- 16 | to remotely connect to your computer at home from using a URL,
- 17 | a distinct name, even if your IP address at home changes.
- 18 **Q.** And what did you do with regard to the Afraid.org lead?
- 19 **A.** I obtained subscriber information for the account.
- 20 **Q.** And when you say "the account," what did you request?
- 21 **A.** The account with the e-mail address chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 22 **Q.** And did you receive a response?
- 23 **A.** I did.
- 24 | Q. If you want to look in that box, we have identified
- 25 | Exhibits 43, 44, 44A, and 45. Do you recognize those?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 **Q.** What are they?
- 3 **A.** This is information provided from Afraid.org.
- 4 Q. Okay. So Exhibit 44 -- sorry -- Exhibit 43 is what?
- 5 **A.** The declaration of custodian of records.
- 6 Q. All right. And that certifies that these are business
- 7 | records of Afraid.org?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- 9 Q. And what is Exhibit 44?
- 10 **A.** 44 is a CD containing the original results.
- 11 **Q.** That's what you received from Afraid.org?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **0.** What about 44A?
- 14 A. 44A is a printout of some of the records I received from
- 15 Afraid.org.
- 16 **Q.** So those are -- those were contained on the disk at 44?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. And what about 45?
- 19 **A.** 45 is the subscriber records for the account Afraid.org
- 20 account associated with the e-mail address
- 21 chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 22 MS. KANE: United States moves for the admission of
- 23 Exhibits 44, 44A and 45.
- 24 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 25 **THE COURT:** All received.

- 1 (Trial Exhibits 44, 44A and 45 received in evidence.)
- 2 MS. KANE: So if we can show Exhibit 45, please.
- 3 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 4 BY MS. KANE:
- 5 **Q.** So what are we looking at here?
- 6 **A.** This is the subscriber information for the
- 7 | chinabig01@gmail.com account for Afraid.org.
- 8 Q. And what is the name on this account?
- 9 A. Zopaqwel Zopaqwel.
- 10 Q. And that is spelled Z-O-P-A-Q-W-E-1; is that right?
- 11 **A.** That's correct.
- 12 **Q.** Now continuing down, we are going to blow up another
- portion there. It lists a password. What is the password?
- 14 A. It is the same as the name on the account Zopaqwe1,
- 15 Z-O-P-A-Q-W-E-1.
- 16 | Q. It also lists a -- it says: Found one active domain.
- 17 What is the active domain?
- 18 | A. Zopaqwel.com, Z-O-P-A-Q-W-E-1.com.
- 19 Q. All right. There is a user agent listed -- I'm sorry --
- 20 agent it says. And that includes the word "sputnik;" is that
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- 23 | Q. So we looked at the domain that was -- we are pronouncing
- 24 | it Zopaqwel, but I don't know. It is not really a word.
- 25 | Zopagwel.com, did you investigate that domain?

- 1 A. I did. I looked to see if that domain had ever been
- 2 | registered and it had not; meaning, there is no website located
- 3 | at zopaqwell.com.
- 4 Q. Did you identify any other accounts with other services
- 5 registered with the e-mail account chinabig01@gmail.com?
- 6 **A.** I did.
- 7 **Q.** And what was that?
- 8 A. One account was registered at vimeo.com.
- 9 **Q.** What is Vimeo?
- 10 **A.** Vimeo is a video service website similar to YouTube.
- 11 Q. So I would like you to look at Exhibits 113 and 138.
- 12 MR. GASNER: Ms. Kane, what was the second number?
- 13 **MS. KANE:** 138.
- 14 BY MS. KANE:
- 15 **Q.** And what are those?
- 16 **A.** 113 is a subscriber information for the Vimeo, the Vimeo
- 17 | account associated with the e-mail address at
- 18 chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 19 Excuse me. And 138 is the certification of custodian of
- 20 records certifying the business records.
- 21 **Q.** Thank you.
- 22 MS. KANE: The United States moves for the admission
- 23 of Exhibit 113.
- 24 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Received in evidence.

(Trial Exhibit 113 received in evidence.) 1 MS. KANE: If we can show 113. 2 BY MS. KANE: 3 So what is this that we are looking at? 4 5 This is an e-mail from Vimeo containing the subscriber Α. information. It has the e-mail address that I requested 6 chinabig01@gmail.com, with the username uarebeenhacked. 7 (Pause in proceedings.) 8 BY MS. KANE: 9 Did you also receive login records from Vimeo with your 10 subscriber information? 11 I did. 12 Α. 13 And did those login records contain IP addresses? They did. 14 Α. 15 So at this point you had identified accounts controlled by the same e-mail address, chinabig01@gmail.com, at LinkedIn, 16 17 Dropbox, Afraid and Vimeo? 18 And Formspring as well. Α. Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Formspring. 19 Your Honor, I'm starting a new section here, and I don't 20 21 know what the lunch plan is? I appreciate the heads-up. The lunch will 22 THE COURT: 23 be ready for the jury in 12 or so minutes. I don't want to send them in there until it is there. We will take it right up 24

until noon, and then we will break then.

- 1 MS. KANE: I'm happy to do that. All right.
- 2 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 3 BY MS. KANE:
- 4 | Q. Did you talk to Formspring in the course of your
- 5 investigation?
- 6 **A.** I did.
- 7 | Q. And how did that come about?
- 8 A. I believe I saw a posting on the same website as the
- 9 LinkedIn data, insidepro.com, posting data for sale.
- 10 | Q. Who did you -- did you speak to someone at Formspring?
- 11 **A.** I did.
- 12 **Q.** Who was that?
- 13 A. Ade Olonoh.
- 14 | Q. And did Formspring provide you any information, any data,
- 15 | in your investigation?
- 16 **A.** They provided me log files.
- 17 Q. Okay. So I would like you to look at what has been marked
- 18 as Exhibit 18.
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** 1-8?
- 20 MS. KANE: Yes -- I believe Exhibit 18 was already
- 21 admitted.
- 22 **THE COURT:** It is. 18 is in evidence.
- 23 MS. KANE: That's correct. Thank you.
- 24 BY MS. KANE:
- 25 | Q. Exhibit 18, please. If you can look at that, Exhibit 18

1 was admitted with Mr. Olonoh as the records that had been

- 2 | provided. What is Exhibit 19?
- 3 **A.** 19 is a summary of IP overlap for the Formspring logs.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Is that a summary that you prepared?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. And what was the source of the records in there?
- 7 A. The Formspring logs for Mr. Olonoh.
- 8 Q. Do you have an Exhibit 19A as well?
- 9 A. I believe the CD is 19A.
- 10 Q. So what you just testified about was the summary was 19A;
- 11 | is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And what was previously shown during Mr. Olonoh's
- 14 | testimony as Exhibit 19, do you recall the Formspring logs?
- 15 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 16 | Q. And so is Exhibit 19A a summary prepared from Exhibit 19?
- 17 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. Thank you. And Exhibit 19 was a summary of Exhibit 18,
- 19 | the original logs from Formspring; is that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Thank you. And those logs were voluminous, would you say?
- 22 **A.** Yes, they were.
- 23 **Q.** Okay.
- 24 MS. KANE: So we will move to admit Exhibit 19A.
- 25 MR. GASNER: Submitted.

THE COURT: Received. 1 (Trial Exhibit 19A received in evidence.) 2 MS. KANE: Let's bring up 19A. 3 BY MS. KANE: 4 5 Can you describe how you created this summary? Q. Can we scroll over so I could see all the columns, please. 6 Α. 7 So this summary contains in one format the -- snippets from the various log formats so it is easy to read. 8 And these are the Formspring logs? 9 That's correct. 10 Α. 11 Okay. And then you said it was a summary of the IP Q. overlap. So what does that mean? 12 13 Α. Could we scroll all the way to the left, please. Let's look at lines 1 through 7. Can we -- can you see 14 15 lines 1 through 7? 16 Α. Yes. (Pause in proceedings.) 17 BY MS. KANE: 18 I'm sorry. We are looking at 19. We are going to bring 19 20 up 19A. 21 (Pause in proceedings.) BY MS. KANE: 22 23 19A is the one you described as the overlap? That's correct. That was the summary on the previous 24 25 screen.

Q. We will come back to 19A. You mentioned something about Formspring data being posted. Do you recall where it was posted?

- A. Yes, inside the Russian forum insidepro.com just like billing data.
- Q. And I would like to show you what has been marked as
 Exhibit 6. Do you have Exhibit 6?

8 (Pause in proceedings.)

THE WITNESS: 66?

MS. KANE: 6.

11 (Pause in proceedings.)

12 BY MS. KANE:

4

5

9

- 13 **Q.** Do you recognize Exhibit 6?
- 14 A. Yes, I do. This is the posting on insidepro.com of the
- 15 Formspring data.
- 16 **Q.** All right. Is this something you viewed at the time?
- 17 **A.** It was.
- 18 Q. Okay. It looks like what you saw at the time?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.
- 20 **Q.** Okay.
- 21 MS. KANE: The United States moves for admission of
- 22 Exhibit 6.
- 23 MR. GASNER: Submitted, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Received in evidence.
- 25 | (Trial Exhibit 6 received in evidence.)

- 1 MS. KANE: All right. We can show Exhibit 6.
- 2 BY MS. KANE:
- 3 | Q. So that blown up part there, what are we looking at?
- 4 **A.** This is the posting by an individual using the nickname
- 5 | Slavuti4. It says: Help me PLZ, please, with sha256 hashes
- 6 and a link.
- 7 THE COURT: Can I ask a question? Where do you --
- 8 where did this come from again?
- 9 THE WITNESS: The Russian forum insidepro.com.
- 10 **THE COURT:** The what? Say it again.
- 11 **THE WITNESS:** A forum, a website.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 13 BY MS. KANE:
- 14 Q. What is a forum?
- 15 **A.** A forum is a type of a website where users can post
- 16 | questions and comments and assist each other for communication.
- 17 | THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
- 18 BY MS. KANE:
- 19 Q. And was this something that you captured at the time
- 20 during your investigation?
- 21 A. Yes, it was.
- 22 Q. So this is a like a screenshot of what you saw; is that
- 23 | right?
- 24 **A.** That's exactly what this is.
- 25 | Q. Okay. So what did you do in response to this information?

- 1 | A. I tried to validate the validity of this information
- 2 | contained in the link.
- 3 **Q.** And what happened?
- 4 **A.** Formspring confirmed it was their data.
- 5 | Q. Now, I want to go back to the chinabig01@gmail.com
- 6 account.
- 7 We saw earlier a few of the e-mail messages that you had
- 8 | obtained -- that you had found in the search warrant results
- 9 that you received from Google. You mentioned other types of
- 10 account contents. Did you obtain any other types of account
- 11 | contents for that account?
- 12 A. Yes, I did. I obtained the search history for the
- 13 account.
- 14 Q. And how did you obtain that?
- 15 **A.** Through the same search warrant.
- 16 **Q.** I would like you to look at Exhibits 62 and 62A. And in
- 17 | addition, Exhibit 63 is in the bundle that you looked at before
- 18 | that you identified as Google certifications.
- 19 Do you recognize Exhibit 62?
- 20 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 21 **Q.** What is that?
- 22 **A.** This is the data obtained from Google in response to the
- 23 | search warrant. It has the search history.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 63?
- 25 **A.** 63 is the certificate of authenticity for the business

- 1 records for that search warrant from Google.
- 2 Q. And then there is an Exhibit 62A. What is that?
- 3 A. 62A contains the search history for that account, the
- 4 | chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 5 Q. Okay. And when you reviewed the search history in the
- 6 results, what languages were the searches in?
- 7 A. English and Russian.
- 8 Q. Okay. So 62A you said is search history from the account.
- 9 What language is that set of search history in?
- 10 A. English.
- 11 **Q.** So is that something that you pulled out of the response
- 12 | from Google, just the English responses or the English
- 13 | searches?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. And explain what search history means in the context of a
- 16 | Google account.
- 17 **A.** Sure. When you register a Google account, you can set a
- 18 setting to maintain your search history. So any time you
- 19 search anything on the Google toolbar or website, it will
- 20 | catalog that and save it to your account for references later
- 21 on that computer or, say, a different device.
- 22 | Q. So Exhibit 62A is a summary that you made from the
- 23 response at Exhibit 62; is that right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- MS. KANE: The United States moves for the admission

```
of 62A.
 1
                           Submitted.
 2
              MR. GASNER:
              THE COURT:
                          In.
                               Received.
 3
          (Trial Exhibit 62A received in evidence.)
 4
 5
              MS. KANE: Let's show 62A. We are going to -- blowing
     up the first few entries there.
 6
     BY MS. KANE:
 7
          There is an entry -- there are a number of entries for
 8
     February 18th, 2012. And it says "searched for." And then it
 9
10
     has a different phrase on each one. So what does this mean --
11
     what are we looking at here?
          These are the words that were typed into the Google search
12
     engine for this account the chinabig01@gmail.com.
13
          Okay. And can you read the words for the February 18th
14
15
     entries?
16
     Α.
          Yes.
17
          Searched for svn ssh key.
18
          Searched for Oracle export.
19
          Searched for Oracle export utility.
20
          Visited a website from oracle.ru.
21
          Searched for EMS export Oracle.
          Searched for EMS data export for Oracle.
22
23
                 Now, I would like to go back to our timeline that
     we were looking at that was begun with Special Agent Ling.
24
25
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. It is on my screen. Oops, there --2 there. It is right there. MS. KANE: All right. 3 BY MS. KANE: 4 So this is what we were looking at before. And I would 5 Q. like to sort of move -- we have now filled up this page on the 6 timeline, so we are going to start a new section. And what we 7 will do is we will move and just include the last few entries 8 from the previous timeline that we were looking at. 9 So those blue entries are the ones that Special Agent Ling 10 11 testified to, and the red is what you already discussed this morning that we have put up. That was that last box. 12 So now, let's add what we just looked at. So we have 13 February 18th, 2012, search for Oracle export from 14 15 chinabig01@gmail.com; and we also have the information you previously testified about for the ISP records for the .239 IP 16 17 address; is that right? 18 Α. That's correct. **THE COURT:** Will this be a good time to break? 19 20 lunchtime for the jury. All right. You can take a minute more 21 to kind of --22 I have two or three more questions on this MS. KANE: 23 timeline; just to add things. THE COURT: Finish that up and then we will take a 24 25 break.

1 MS. KANE: Okay. Great. All right. So let's -- well, you know what, why don't we break now. 2 I don't want to make them wait. 3 Thank you. All right. We are going to 4 THE COURT: 5 take a break. Please remember the admonition. This will be a 30-minute break. And I think the food is waiting for you in 6 7 the other room. Yes, Ma'am? JUROR WOODROW: We just want to know if we go into 8 deliberation, can we come earlier than 8:45 a.m. if needed? 9 THE COURT: I need to check with the Clerk's Office to 10 11 make sure. Normally I would say absolutely, yes. And I would be here very early, well before the sunrise to let you in. 12 However, because of COVID-19, I have to comply with the 13 ground rules that our Clerk's Office has come up with for 14 15 safety. And I will inquire. Is this a question all of you 16 have? I will find out the answer. Okay. Good question. All 17 right. Please go enjoy your lunch and don't talk about the 18 It will be your duty to do so in due course. 19 case yet. (Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:) 20 THE COURT: Everyone can be seated. The witness can 21 stay. He is the case agent so. 22 23 Anything for -- the lawyers want to raise with me? MR. GASNER: Nothing from the Defense at this point. 24 25 MS. KANE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

```
All right. I do have one question.
 1
              THE COURT:
                                                               And I
     don't need an answer now, but I do need it in due course.
 2
          That certification from Russia had some blanks.
 3
     didn't show the jury the blanks. It was above -- you had the
 4
 5
     part that showed the business record thing. But above that, I
     could see it had an "I" and then it was a Russian name.
 6
                                                              And
     then "I am" the blank of the blank, and they didn't fill in the
 7
    blanks on your certification.
 8
          So I -- I'm asking is that a defect in some manner?
 9
     the other question is how this ever gets in under Rule 803?
10
11
     Because it does say all the conditions are shown by the
     testimony of the custodian or another qualified witness or by
12
     certification that complies with 902.11 or 12 or with a statute
13
     permitting the certification.
14
15
          Now, are you relying on 902.11 or 902.12 or a statute?
16
              MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, they are admissible as
17
     foreign business records.
              THE COURT:
                         Where? Foreign -- okay. Is there a
18
19
     different one that you are relying on?
20
                         Sorry. Give me a moment, please.
              MS. KANE:
              THE COURT: It could be under the MLAT itself.
21
                         Well, Your Honor, there are statutes that
22
              MS. KANE:
     also interact with the rules of evidence.
23
                                                The exhibit is
     already admitted so I --
24
25
              THE COURT: I understand but I -- I admitted it; but
```

```
then after I saw it on the screen and saw those blanks, I began
 1
     to wonder about it. And I'm going to give you time to answer
 2
     this in detail. Just put it on the list of things that need to
 3
    be answered.
 4
 5
             MS. KANE: Your Honor, I was referring to 902.3,
     foreign documents attested by officials.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. 902.3.
             MS. KANE: But we can discuss further --
 8
              THE COURT: It says it must be certified by somebody
 9
     that -- certifies a genuineness of the signature and official
10
11
     position of the signer or attester. But those were left blank
     in yours. It is just a name. They could have been taxicab
12
     driver. I don't know.
13
          So I raise the question. I'm not making any ruling, but
14
15
     it went by me pretty fast so -- and when I saw the blanks, I
16
     said: I wonder if that's a problem.
17
         Okay. We will take a break at this time. Enjoy your
     lunch. I have a -- I know -- I feel -- I don't want to be
18
     critical of your team there. It takes forever to bring stuff
19
     up on the screen. In the meanwhile we are wasting 30 seconds,
20
     45 seconds each time. Isn't there a quicker way to bring it up
21
     on the screen?
22
23
             MS. KANE: Your Honor, the Zoom is what is making it
24
    very slow.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Is it?
                                  Okay. All right. Thank you.
```

```
1
                       (Recess taken at 12:08 p.m.)
                   (Proceedings resumed at 12:42 p.m.)
 2
          (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
 3
                         Be seated. We need to wait for the
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
     interpreters.
                       (Recess taken at 12:43 p.m.)
 6
 7
                   (Proceedings resumed at 12:50 p.m.)
                          Interpreters, please tell me when you are
              THE COURT:
 8
 9
     ready.
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
10
11
              INTERPRETER: We are ready, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                         Ready?
12
13
              INTERPRETER: Yes.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Kane, please
14
15
     continue.
16
              MS. KANE:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
     BY MS. KANE:
17
18
          When we broke for lunch, we had been looking at Exhibit
     62A; and you testified that that was search history that you
19
20
     had obtained from Google for the chinabig01@qmail.com account.
          And we looked at page 1 and you read some entries from
21
     February 18th. I would now like to turn to page 3 -- sorry,
22
23
     February 18th, 2012 that was.
          Now, let's look at page 3. Okay. So there is an entry
24
     there for June 6th, 2012, and it continues down through entries
25
```

- 1 | for June 7th, 2012.
- 2 Can you tell us -- some of them say "searched for" and
- 3 | some of them say "visited." Can you describe those for us?
- 4 **A.** Sure. One says: Searched for dwdm
- 5 | site:http:\\forum.insidepro.com.
- 6 Q. And that's June 6th. And there is another entry for
- 7 | search 6th with a similar website?
- 8 A. Yes. It says: Visited
- 9 http:\\forum.insidepro.com/search.php?search author=dwdm.
- 10 Q. And then there is an entry for June 7th. Can you tell us
- 11 | what that is?
- 12 **A.** It says: Searched for LinkedIn hash.
- 13 Q. And the next entry for June 7th, 2012? You don't have to
- 14 | read all the slashes, but if you can tell us what it says.
- 15 **A.** Sure. This one visited a slash.org story for LinkedIn in
- 16 | password hashes leaked online.
- 17 **Q.** There is another entry for June 7th. What website is
- 18 | that?
- 19 A. Thenextweb .com.
- 20 **Q.** It says visited. And then at the end there, the website
- 21 | name, what does it say?
- 22 **A.** "Bad day for LinkedIn. 6-5 million hashed passwords
- 23 reportedly leaked. Change yours now."
- 24 | Q. And then on June 7th, continuing down, there are two more
- 25 | entries that say: Searched for dwm. And one says: Dwm

- 1 InsidePro; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, dwdm.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry, dwdm. Thank you. Okay. I would like to turn
- 4 | briefly back to our timeline.
- 5 So as you will recall -- we had moved on in our timeline,
- 6 and we are now on the second page. So there is still the blue
- 7 | that represented what Special Agent Ling had testified to with
- 8 my colleague.
- 9 And you had added a couple items. And now we will add
- 10 | from the search history what you just talked about.
- 11 And you previously testified today that on -- on or about
- 12 June 6th, 2012, LinkedIn reported the password hashes being
- 13 | posted to the FBI; is that right?
- 14 **A.** That's correct.
- 15 Q. Now, let's turn to Exhibits 118 and 119. Do you have
- 16 | those? Do you recognize those exhibits?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 18 **Q.** And what are they?
- 19 **A.** Exhibit 118 is Russian search history. So search history
- 20 | in the Russian language from the chinabig01@gmail.com account.
- 21 **Q.** And what is Exhibit 119?
- 22 | A. 119 is the English translation of those Russian search
- 23 terms.
- 24 Q. All right.
- MS. KANE: Now, I would like to read a stipulation.

```
It says (reading): "Government Exhibit 118 is an excerpt of
 1
     Russian language search history. Government Exhibit 119 is an
 2
     accurate translation of the search history from the Russian
 3
 4
     language to the English language."
 5
              THE COURT:
                         So stipulated.
              MR. GASNER: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. That is evidence in the case.
 7
    Go ahead.
 8
              MS. KANE: And the United States would move to admit
 9
     Exhibits 118 and 119.
10
11
              THE COURT: Any objection?
              MR. GASNER: No, Judge.
12
              THE COURT: Received.
13
          (Trial Exhibits 118 and 119 received in evidence.)
14
15
              MS. KANE: All right. If we could please show Exhibit
16
     119.
17
    BY MS. KANE:
18
          And on page 1 there are some entries for June 7th. If we
19
     can highlight those, can you tell us what the entries for
20
     June 7th, 2012, are?
21
          Searched for collision at MD5.
    Α.
          Visited search of MD5 collisions as easy to nibble
22
     sunflower seeds.
23
          Searched for collision at MD5 example.
24
          And visited MD5 collisions. Does anyone know a real
25
```

- 1 | example with a string?
- 2 Q. And then there are some entries from November 9th. Can
- 3 you tell us what those are?
- 4 A. Yes. Searched for 3 Kantemirovskaya Street, apt. 2 on
- 5 | both entries.
- 6 **Q.** Thank you.
- 7 When you received these search responses, did you
- 8 | recognize anything in the November 9th entries?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 **Q.** And what is that?
- 11 **A.** I noticed that the street name Kantemirovskaya matched the
- 12 | street name for the subscriber of the 239 IP from the Russian
- 13 | MLAT return.
- 14 MS. KANE: Let's move on. We can take that down.
- 15 BY MS. KANE:
- 16 **Q.** During the course of your investigation, did you obtain
- 17 | any audio recordings of the Defendant?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 **Q.** I would like you to look at Exhibits 88, 88A, 89, 89A, 90,
- 20 90A, 135, 135A, 136, and 136A?
- 21 A. I don't believe I have 90.
- 22 Q. Do you recognize these?
- 23 A. One moment.
- 24 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 25 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, I do.

BY MS. KANE:

- 2 | Q. And are these the recordings you just referred to?
- 3 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 4 Q. And also the translations of those recordings?
- 5 **A.** That's correct.
- 6 Q. Do those recordings contain the Defendant speaking?
- 7 **A.** Yes, they did.
- 8 Q. How do you know that it was the Defendant speaking?
- 9 A. I have heard the Defendant speak in association with these court proceedings before.
- 11 **Q.** So you were able to recognize his voice on the audio recordings?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.
- 14 MS. KANE: Your Honor, at this time I would like to read another stipulation.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Please go ahead.
- MS. KANE: (Reading) "Government Exhibits 88A, 89A,
- 18 90A, 135A, and 136A are CDs containing true and accurate
- 19 excerpts of recording of calls between the Defendant and
- 20 | various individuals."
- 21 MR. GASNER: So stipulated.
- THE COURT: All right. That stipulation counts as
- 23 | evidence in the case.
- 24 MS. KANE: Your Honor, at this time the Government
- 25 | moves for admission of Exhibits 88A, 90, 90A, 135, 135A, 136,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
and 136A including a -- pursuant to that stipulation, a second
stipulation that reads: "The Government has created English
language transcripts for Government Exhibits 88A, 90A, 135A,
and 136A translating each of the excerpts of the recordings of
calls.
      These transcripts are Government Exhibits 88, 90, 135,
and 136. The transcripts provide an accurate translation from
the Russian language to the English language."
     So we move for the admission of those calls and those
translations.
         THE COURT: All right. I think first I need to --
give me -- it was very confusing. You jumped around. I need
the numbers again.
        MS. KANE:
                    I'm sorry, Your Honor. I just want to
break this up because there is a -- well, the calls -- the
first group of calls we will do is call -- Exhibit 88, 90, 135,
and 136.
         THE COURT:
                   Received in evidence.
     (Trial Exhibits 88, 90, 135 and 136 received in
     evidence.)
         MS. KANE: And we move for the admission of the
translation of those calls which are Exhibits 88A, 90A, 135A
and 136A pursuant to the stipulation that they are accurate
translations.
         THE COURT: Received.
     (Trial Exhibits 88A, 90A, 135A and 136A received in
```

```
evidence.)
 1
              MS. KANE: We also move for the admission of the call
 2
     89 and its translation 89A. And that is pursuant to the
 3
     stipulation that it is the Defendant's call and the
 4
 5
     translator's testimony today that that is an accurate
     translation.
 6
              THE COURT: Received.
 7
          (Trial Exhibits 89 and 89A received in evidence.)
 8
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              MS. KANE:
              THE COURT: Now, just to make sure my note -- did you
10
     also move for 90, 135 and 136?
11
              MS. KANE: Yes, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: All right. All of that as well as the
13
     little As. Okay, got it. All in evidence. Thank you.
14
15
              MS. KANE: So we will play Exhibit 88, and we will
16
     show the translation on the screen while it is playing.
17
              THE COURT: Very well. Do that.
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
18
                         We can proceed, if there is no objection,
19
              MS. KANE:
20
     just going through the transcript at this point given that the
21
     original --
22
              THE COURT: What is the key point you are trying to
23
    publish to the jury?
              MS. KANE: Well, the original is in Russian.
24
25
    playing it --
```

```
Well, I'm going to let you just read the
 1
              THE COURT:
     translation. You read it. You say: Zhenya, you can write
 2
    here if you want to. I can tell you the address.
 3
                  I got it, Zhenya.
 4
          Sasha:
 5
          Just read it out loud and the jury can follow along on the
     screen anyway. That way it will get published without any
 6
     further ado and loss of time.
 7
              MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: But put it up on the screen so they can
 9
     follow along with --
10
    BY MS. KANE:
11
         All right. So the call says (reading): "Zhenya: You can
12
     write it here if you want. I can tell you the address.
13
14
          Sasha: I got it, Zhenya.
15
          Zhenya: If you want to.
16
          Sasha: What do you want to tell? The address?
17
          Zhenya: Yes, if you want to.
18
          Sasha: Wait a minute, Zhenya. I will write it down.
          Female speaker 1: We won't be able to write it down.
19
                                                                 Let
20
    him send us a letter.
21
                  Zhenya, can you send us a letter?
          Sasha:
          Female speaker 1: Let him send us a letter as he did in
22
23
     Czech Republic, and we will have the return address.
     we won't be able to write it down.
24
25
          Sasha:
                  I will try to ask the attorney one more time.
```

```
I can send a letter to Kantemirovskaya Street.
 1
          Zhenya:
          Sasha: Send a letter to Kantemirovskaya Street, of
 2
     course. We will have the address."
 3
          So in this recording, who is Zhenya?
 4
 5
          The Defendant.
    Α.
              MS. KANE: So let's move to Exhibit 89.
 6
              THE COURT: I think it would help the jury to know the
 7
     date of that conversation so that it just doesn't float in
 8
 9
     space.
10
              MS. KANE:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: So please tell the jury -- let the
     witness. What was the date of that conversation?
12
              MS. KANE: The date of that conversation was
13
    November 8th, 2018.
14
15
              THE COURT: Is that right?
16
              THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
              MS. KANE: This is the Exhibit 89. The date of this
18
19
     conversation is November 19th, 2018.
20
          Can you blow it up?
21
          It says (reading): "Anya, haven't they told you anything?
          Zhenya: I should have got food as well. Neither food nor
22
23
     books and magazines arrived. I asked to bring me computer
```

Anya: Do you even know what the time is when you call

magazines.

24

```
somebody?
 1
          Zhenya: I do. The time is okay now. The attorneys work
 2
     24/7.
 3
                 Zhenya, who said the attorneys work 24/7? If you
 4
 5
     want everybody to work like that, it doesn't mean everybody
     wants it.
 6
          Zhenya: I hack websites 24/7. I hacked.
 7
         Anya: You hack websites?
 8
          Zhenya: I hack websites (laughing). You know what?
 9
10
     you find Artemiy Nevahno on Russian social network?
11
         Anya: I know.
          Zhenya: Come again.
12
13
         Anya: I know.
          Zhenya: Come again.
14
15
                 I said I know. I know him. We are friends on
         Anya:
16
     Bkohtakte.
17
          Zhenya: You are?
18
         Anya: Yes."
19
          It continues (reading): "Zhenya: Let him register an
20
     American number. I want to call him to talk. Okay?
21
         Anya: Okay.
          Zhenya: I want to hack the prison here (laughing).
22
23
         Anya: Do you want to hack the prison?
24
          Zhenya: I want to hack the prison. The rules here are
25
     stupid.
```

1 Anya: Okay.

Zhenya: Can you ask to send me some magazines. Not just about cars. About computers, about --

Anya: Zhenya, call them in the evening and tell them yourself."

THE COURT: All right. Just a second, there is a reference there that you heard to the jury -- that the jury heard to prison; and I need to give you an admonition that you are in no way -- no way -- to draw any inference of guilt about anything from that reference to prison.

We are here to determine whether or not the Defendant is guilty of the specific crimes that are charged. And whether in the past he was ever in some prison is not evidence of any guilt whatsoever.

So please keep that in mind during your deliberations. Go ahead.

MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.

18 BY MS. KANE:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 **Q.** In the recording for which this is the translation, who is 20 Zhenya?
- 21 A. Again, that's the Defendant.
- 22 Q. And we saw a reference to a Russian social network in that
- 23 | translation. Are you familiar with that Russian social
- 24 | network?
- 25 **A.** I am.

```
1 Q. And what is it called --
```

- 2 **A.** The short version is vk.com, and it is very similar to
- 3 | Facebook but the Russian equivalent.
- 4 Q. Do you know who the Defendant was speaking with in Exhibit
- 5 89 --
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. -- that I just read, the person identified as Anya?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And who is it?
- 10 A. Anya Shvedova.
- 11 **Q.** How do you know that?
- 12 **A.** Based on contact lists with these proceedings.
- 13 Q. All right. Now I would like to move to Exhibit 90.
- 14 (Pause in the proceedings.)
- 15 **THE COURT:** Okay. What is the question?
- 16 MS. KANE: I'm waiting for it to come up, Your Honor.
- 17 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 18 | (Pause in proceedings.)
- 19 MS. KANE: This is another translation of a recorded
- 20 | call from November 29th, 2018.
- It says (reading): "Zhenya: I would like to have more
- 22 magazines.
- 23 Male speaker 2: Okay, Zhenya. We will tell the attorneys
- 24 | to give you more magazines.
- 25 Zhenya: Computer ones. I mean about technology. I don't

```
MILLER - DIRECT / KANE
     know how to put it right, hi-tech.
 1
          Zhenya: As to the books, I would like science fiction and
 2
    high-tech magazines.
 3
          Male speaker 2: High-tech, okay, Zhenya.
 4
 5
          Zhenya: I don't know, about computers. About what
 6
     happens in the modern world.
          Male speaker 2: Okay, Zhenya. I will call him today."
 7
          And again in this call, who is Zhenya?
 8
          The Defendant.
 9
     Α.
10
          And male speaker 2 addresses the Defendant as Zhenya and
11
     Zhenya responds; is that right?
          That's correct.
12
     Α.
          All right. Let's do Exhibit 135. This is a recording
13
     0.
     from September 30th, 2019.
14
15
          It says (reading): "Male speaker 1:
                                                Hello.
16
          Male speaker 2: Hello.
17
          Male speaker 1: Hello. Good day.
18
          Male speaker 2: Good day.
19
          Male speaker 1: Is this a book store?
20
          Male speaker 2: Yes, yes.
21
          Male speaker 1: I would like to know if you have a
     Russian magazines in your store, if you have any interesting
22
23
     magazines, I don't know, about women, about computers, about
```

That's all we need to read for that one. And let's do

what's going on in the world around."

24

Exhibit 136 -- I'm sorry. In the call that we just read, who 1 2 was male speaker 1? The Defendant. 3 Α. And how do you know that? 4 Q. 5 Α. I have heard his voice before in these proceedings. And that was the person that was asking for magazines? 6 Q. 7 Yes, the high-tech magazines, computer magazines. Α. Exhibit 136. This is a call from October 13, 2019. 8 Q. 9 (Reading): "Male speaker 1: Hello. 10 11 Male speaker 2: Hello. Male speaker 1: 12 Hi. 13 Male speaker 2: Hi. This is Zhenek Evgeniy. 14 Male speaker 1: 15 Male speaker 1: You know where to go? 16 Male speaker 2: Where? 17 Male speaker 1: Now, vashakniga.com. 18 Male speaker 2: Vashakniga.com. 19 Do they have any interesting magazines? Male speaker 1: 20 Male speaker 2: What kind of magazines are you interested 21 Medicine, arts, Jewish world -in? 22 Male speaker 1: How about girls, bikes, something 23 interesting, computers. Male speaker 2: They have hobbies and recreation, 24 25 programming and computers.

```
Male speaker 1: What's there?
 1
          Male speaker 2: Databases, hardware, various sections,
 2
     operating systems, application suites, applications, networks,
 3
     communication.
 4
 5
          Male speaker 1: I am talking about some interesting
     magazines (chuckles).
 6
          Male speaker 2: They are shown by topics here so you
 7
     select, networks and communications.
 8
          Male speaker 1: Are there any with girls? What's going
 9
     on in the world, new cell phones.
10
11
          Male speaker 2:
                          Now.
          Male speaker 1: What's new in high-tech in general.
12
13
          Male speaker 2: Uh-huh, just a second. How do you search
     here? I can't figure it out.
14
15
          How do you select them by topics?
16
          Male speaker 1: I don't have internet here. I do have a
17
     communicator but I can't go online. Even though it must open a
18
    browser.
19
          Male speaker 2:
                          Uh-huh.
20
          Male speaker 1: But there is no connection.
21
          Male speaker 2: But you can ask them, like high-tech.
          Male speaker 1: I can ask the guards but I don't want to
22
     ask them.
23
          Male speaker 2:
                           I understand. I don't know.
                                                         This is a
24
25
     stupid website. I select -- I can only select children's book,
```

- DIRECT / KANE family, Jewish world by category. 1 Male speaker 1: But are there any magazines? 2 Male speaker 2: Books, audiobooks. 3 Male speaker 1: I don't have here player. I would listen 4 5 to the radio, audiobooks." There is some designations there U/A which means 6 unintelligible. 7 "Male speaker 2: Unintelligible." 8 THE COURT: No. You left out: "Male speaker 1: Like 9 around the world, behind the wheel, various magazines." 10 MS. KANE: That was the next line I was going to read. 11 I was just reading the one above it, male speaker 2 had an 12 13 unintelligible response. And then male speaker 1 responds (reading): "Like around 14 15 the world, behind the wheel, various magazines. Male speaker 2: Products. It's done so badly. 16 I will 17 not check. Male speaker 1: I asked the attorney to bring something. 18 19 She did not send anything. 20 Male speaker 2: Now, wait a second. There are magazines. 21 Male speaker 1: Well --22 Male speaker 2: I think it's High Tech Pro. 23 Male speaker 1: What's there? Male speaker 2: It's about multimedia and stuff, about 24

25

new things.

```
Male speaker 1:
                           High Tech Pro, okay.
 1
                           Now, I will have a look.
 2
          Male speaker 2:
          Male speaker 1:
                           I will ask the attorney to order.
 3
                                                               Have
     you seen the new iPhone?
 4
 5
          Male speaker 2:
                           Yes, it looks like a bucket.
                           Yes, I think so too with three --
          Male speaker 1:
 6
 7
          Male speaker 2:
                           With square.
          Male speaker 1: What do they -- three --
 8
          Male speaker 2: One is wide angle. The second one is
 9
     super wide angle, and the third one is to take pictures in the
10
     darkness."
11
                          Is that all on that exhibit or is there
12
              THE COURT:
13
     more?
                         That is the end.
14
              MS. KANE:
              THE COURT:
                          I need to give another admonition to the
15
            There were references there to guards. And, again, I
16
17
     tell you any information that the Defendant was ever in custody
18
     or in prison is irrelevant to your decision. It is not
19
     evidence of quilt.
20
          The fact that he wants to see magazines with girls or
21
     high-tech, I don't see the relevance of that, Ms. Kane.
22
     don't know why you are even putting this before the jury.
23
          So I -- we are going to have a conversation about that.
     Maybe you could just tell them: Why is it relevant? What is
24
25
     the relevance of what we just saw other than to put before the
```

jury the thing about the guards?

MS. KANE: Your Honor, I'm happy to discuss the legal relevance of the evidence. Do we want to do that now or should I continue with Special Agent Miller's testimony and perhaps --

THE COURT: Go ahead and continue, but I want to tell this jury the fact that he was ever in custody or ever in prison or had guards is not relevant, and you cannot consider that in determining whether he is quilty.

You got to go with the real evidence in the case, if there is any, before -- you can't rely on something like that. Go ahead.

- 12 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor. We can take this down.
- 14 BY MS. KANE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 15 Q. Let's move on to your investigation. Before we broke, we
- 16 | looked at records you obtained from a company called
- 17 | Afraid.org. And the name on the account that we saw was
- 18 Zopaqwel or Z-O-P-A-Q-W-E-1, and that was for an account in the
- 19 | name -- in the e-mail address of chinabig01@gmail.com.
- 20 Did you use that Zopagwel name as a lead in your
- 21 | investigation?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 | Q. And did you identify any other accounts associated with
- 24 | that name?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

```
Q. And what account was that?
```

- 2 A. Sure. Because the name was fairly unique, I conducted a
- 3 Google search and found a kongregate.com profile with the same
- 4 username.

- 5 Q. What is Kongregate? And that's spelled with a K,
- 6 K-O-N-G-R-E-G-A-T-E; is that correct?
- 7 **A.** That's correct. It is an online gaming website.
- 8 Q. How did you identify an account with Kongregate?
- 9 A. Again, I had the unique Zopaqwel username and a quick
- 10 | Google search displayed the results.
- 11 | Q. Okay. Did you request subscriber records from Kongregate?
- 12 **A.** I did.
- 13 | Q. I would like you to look at Exhibits 48 and 137. Do you
- 14 recognize those exhibits?
- 15 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 16 **Q.** What are they?
- 17 **A.** 137 is the certificate of authenticity confirming these
- 18 are business records for Kongregate, and Exhibit 48 is the
- 19 | subscriber data I received from Kongregate for that account.
- 20 MS. KANE: We would like to move to admit Exhibit 48.
- 21 MR. GASNER: Submitted.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Received in evidence.
- 23 (Trial Exhibit 48 received in evidence.)
- MS. KANE: Can we show exhibit 48.
- 25 \\\\

BY MS. KANE:

- 2 Q. All right. So we are looking at a spreadsheet here. What
- 3 | is this?

- 4 **A.** These are the -- I believe these are the payment records
- 5 associated with that Kongregate account for Zopaqwell.
- 6 | Q. And there are dates in the created date/time column. Can
- 7 | you give us the date range of these records?
- 8 A. Yes. They span July 11th, 2012, through August 21st,
- 9 2012.
- 10 Q. And have you ever seen the Kongregate website?
- 11 **A.** Yes, I have.
- 12 Q. And what would someone pay for on the Kongregate website?
- 13 **A.** To play video games.
- 14 | Q. In the credit card number column -- that is column X --
- 15 there is a string of numbers with some asterisks in the middle.
- 16 And what are -- what does that represent?
- 17 | A. That represents a masked credit card number.
- 18 **Q.** So what do you mean by masked?
- 19 **A.** For security reasons some companies don't maintain the
- 20 | entire number. They block out a section in the middle or at
- 21 the front.
- 22 | Q. And what are the last four digits of the credit card
- 23 number there?
- 24 **A.** 0405.
- 25 MS. KANE: Now, let's look at the other spreadsheet in

```
this exhibit.
 1
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
 2
              MS. KANE: We will come back to Exhibit 48.
 3
     BY MS. KANE:
 4
 5
          I would like you to look at Exhibit 142A, please. Do you
     Q.
 6
     recognize that?
          Yes, I do.
 7
     Α.
          What is it?
 8
     Q.
          This is a summary of IP overlap.
 9
     Α.
          And what do you mean by IP overlap?
10
     Q.
11
          So in these types of investigations I try to build a
     Α.
     history of IP addresses for various accounts and relate them to
12
     the intrusions. And in this case this is IP history for
13
     Dropbox as well as a Skype account.
14
15
     Q.
          Okay. And is that a summary that you created?
16
     Α.
          Yes, it is.
17
          Does it also -- well, you know, let's come back to that
     Q.
18
     because -- we will have to come back to those Kongregate
19
     records.
20
          Let's go to Exhibit 19A, which we will pull up on the
21
     screen here. We previously discussed this.
          So before the break you were testifying about Exhibit 19A,
22
23
     and you testified that this was a summary that you created from
```

Formspring records. Do you remember that?

25 **A.** Yes.

And can you describe how you created it?

- 2 A. Yes. I would take the records from the various logs and
- 3 | combine them into one.
- 4 Q. So which logs did you use to create this one?
- 5 **A.** I believe there is a column to the right that would say.
- 6 Q. Can we scroll across?

7 (Pause in proceedings.)

MS. KANE: All the way across, the other way.

(Pause in proceedings.)

10 BY MS. KANE:

1

8

9

Q.

- 11 **Q.** There is an account column there?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **0.** And what does that show?
- 14 **A.** This shows the various log files or records that contain
- 15 | that IP address involved in the Formspring intrusion.
- 16 **Q.** All right. So you previously testified about Formspring
- 17 | logs, LinkedIn logs, and Dropbox logs. Are those what you used
- 18 | to create the summary?
- 19 **A.** That's correct.
- 20 | Q. So let's go back to the first column and look at
- 21 | exhibits -- look at lines 1 through 7.
- 22 And exhibits -- in lines 1 through 7 show entries from
- 23 | June 4, 2012, through June 13th, 2012; is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And they all have the same IP address ending in .0;

1 is that right?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Now looking over the account tab, which shows the source
- 4 you used, the first one is the Formspring log; is that right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And that shows an entry on the Formspring log from that IP
- 7 | address?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. The second two show LinkedIn consumer account login; is
- 10 | that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 | Q. And that shows in the IP address column the same IP
- 13 address, and then what is the action column reflect?
- 14 **A.** That is the browser cookie for the LinkedIn login.
- 15 Q. Was that one of the two browser cookies that you linked to
- 16 | the compromises?
- 17 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. Then lines 5 and 6 say Dropbox employee tony@dropbox .com
- 19 | for the account. Is that the source of the information?
- 20 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 21 | Q. So it was the Dropbox logs we looked at previously; right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 **Q.** And then the action, what does that say?
- 24 | A. Access activity.
- 25 | Q. And that's from the same IP address; is that right?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 | Q. And then line 7 says formspringsecure.organize. What does
- 3 | that refer to?
- 4 A. A Formspring log.
- 5 **Q.** That is the source of the information in line 7?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. And that shows on June 13th, 2012, from the same IP
- 8 | address an action. And what is that action?
- 9 A. Webmin -- and a number -- successful login as jsanders
- 10 from 178.177.28.0.
- 11 Q. Okay. Let's go down to line 2307. And this shows an
- 12 entry from June 14th, 2012; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. And, again, if we can show the source columns for the
- 15 entries there on June 14th, what is the source of that
- 16 information?
- 17 **A.** A Formspring log.
- 18 Q. Okay. And it looks like the next two come from LinkedIn
- 19 | consumer account login records. Is that the LinkedIn
- 20 | spreadsheets we were looking at earlier today?
- 21 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. And let's look at the action in the beginning.
- 23 All right. So we are looking at this LinkedIn consumer
- 24 | account login as the source. And then on June 15th, what does
- 25 | it show in the action?

- 1 **A.** The same browser cookie ending in 7082.
- 2 **Q.** And also on June 16th; is that right?
- 3 **A.** That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then we show entry on the source column for
- 5 Dropbox employee tony@dropbox.com and here for June 16th in
- 6 | that line it says "access activity" in the action column.
- 7 So did that information come from the Dropbox logs?
- 8 A. Yes, it did.
- 9 **Q.** And the next two show on June 16th and 17th something from
- 10 | a LinkedIn consumer account login for a different member ID
- 11 | than we have previously been looking at. Is that also from the
- 12 LinkedIn spreadsheets we looked at earlier?
- 13 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. And what does that show in the action column?
- 15 **A.** The same browser cookie ending in 7082.
- 16 Q. And going back to the entry at 2307 for June 14th, 2012,
- 17 | in the action column, what does it say there?
- 18 A. Get/jsanders/test/madnez.php. And there is some more.
- 19 **Q.** And that comes from the Formspring logs; right?
- 20 **A.** That's correct.
- 21 MS. KANE: Your Honor, if I may have just a moment
- 22 here.
- 23 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 24 BY MS. KANE:
- 25 | Q. All right. I want to go back to Formspring.

- 1 We discussed the posting of Formspring data earlier today.
- 2 And you said that you had seen a website, a forum, where
- 3 | the data had been posted and that was what began your
- 4 | investigation of Formspring; is that right?
- 5 **A.** That's correct.
- 6 Q. Did you ever find any evidence of that data being sold?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 **Q.** And when -- when was that?
- 9 A. The sale of the data in particular was about September of
- 10 2012.
- 11 Q. And how did that come up in your investigation?
- 12 **A.** I saw references to it in a related investigation.
- 13 | Q. Okay. Did you review e-mail records for an individual
- 14 using the e-mail address fyo -- F-Y-O -- fyofyofyo@hotmail.com?
- 15 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And I would like you to look at Exhibits 20 and 147.
- 17 **A.** I have 147. You said 20.
- 18 THE COURT: I think she said 21 and 147.
- 19 MS. KANE: 20 and 147.
- 20 **THE WITNESS:** I have 147.
- 21 MS. KANE: Okay.
- 22 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 23 BY MS. KANE:
- 24 Q. What is Exhibit 147?
- 25 **A.** 147 is the declaration of authentication of business

- 1 records, so the certification from Microsoft for a search
- 2 warrant.
- 3 BY MS. KANE:
- 4 Q. All right. We will come back to this.
- 5 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 6 BY MS. KANE:
- 7 Q. Let's turn then to after the Formspring intrusion.
- 8 | Continuing into 2013, did you become aware of a potential
- 9 | computer intrusion at a company called Automattic? And that's
- 10 | spelled A-U-T-O-M-A-T-T-I-C.
- 11 | A. Yes, I did.
- 12 **Q.** And what is Automattic?
- 13 A. Automattic is the parent company of Wordpress, and
- 14 | Wordpress is software that allows you to customize website
- 15 | content and display web pages.
- 16 | Q. And when did you have occasion to become aware of a
- 17 | potential intrusion there?
- 18 A. In about November of 2013.
- 19 **Q.** And what did you do?
- 20 A. I interviewed Barry Abrahamson.
- 21 **Q.** Who is Barry Abrahamson?
- 22 **A.** He was an employee at Automattic.
- 23 | Q. And did you obtain any records from Automattic?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 | Q. I would like you to look at Exhibits 16 and 153 and also

- 17 and 17A. 1 (Pause in proceedings.) 2 BY MS. KANE: 3 Do you recognize these? 4 5 Yes, I do. A. 6 What are they? Exhibit 16 are log files provided by Automattic. Exhibit 7 Α. 153 is the certificate of authenticity of those records from 8 Automattic. 9 And that's referring to the business records? 10 Q. 11 Α. The business records, that's correct. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Α. And Exhibit 17 is Automattic IP summary. THE COURT: 7 what? 14 15 THE WITNESS: 17. 16 THE COURT: 17? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And that was what? The summary that you 18 19 did or --20 THE WITNESS: The summary that I created, yes. BY MS. KANE: 21 22 And is that a summary that you created based on the 23 records provided by Automattic?
- Yes, it is. 24 Α.

25

And are the records that were provided by Automattic

voluminous?

- 2 A. Yes, they were.
- 3 | Q. So those are computer logs of potential intrusions?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

- 5 **Q.** All right. And what is 17A?
- 6 A. 17A takes those IP addresses from the log files and
- 7 | compares them against other IP addresses for accounts in the
- 8 case or other victims.
- 9 Q. So 17 is the summary of the Automattic logs and 17A
- 10 combines -- is a summary of those logs and a summary of other
- 11 | logs in the case; is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes, just like the logs we just saw.
- 13 MS. KANE: United States moves to admit Exhibits 17
- 14 and 17A.
- 15 MR. GASNER: Submitted.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Received in evidence.
- 17 (Trial Exhibits 17 and 17A received in evidence.)
- 18 MS. KANE: Let's show Exhibit 17A.
- 19 BY MS. KANE:
- 20 **Q.** So we are going to look at 17A here. Let's look at the
- 21 | tab that ends in .156. So you just testified that this was a
- 22 | summary you created of the records from Automattic and other
- 23 | records we have looked at previously today; is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So let's look at lines 30 through 41.

MIDDER - DIRECT / RANG

- THE COURT: I have got an error code on my screen. Is
- 2 there an error code on the jury's? Okay. Good. It doesn't
- 3 | matter for me then. Please continue.
- 4 BY MS. KANE:
- 5 | Q. So if we could go to the top so we can see the column
- 6 names, please.
- 7 So on the left we have date and then we have IP address
- 8 and then we have account and then we have usage count.
- 9 In the account column can you explain what that shows,
- 10 just generally?
- 11 | A. Sure. That would be the log file or location where I
- 12 | found that IP address.
- 13 **Q.** So then if we can -- if we are looking at lines 30 through
- 14 | 41, for line 30, it says Automattic log file. And it has an IP
- 15 address that ends in .156. In all of the entries on this page
- 16 | have the same IP address; right?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. And then looking at line 31, it says Automattic dzver.
- 19 | What is dzver?
- 20 **A.** That is a username for an Automattic employee.
- 21 Q. And that came from the Automattic records that they
- 22 | provided you?
- 23 A. Yes, it did.
- 24 **Q.** And what does that entry reflect?
- 25 | A. That reflects logins to that account and in the usage

- 1 | column at 6, there were six logins to that dzver account using
- 2 that .156 IP on that day.
- 3 **Q.** And you are looking at line 33?
- 4 A. Yes. Sorry.
- 5 **Q.** July 18th, 2013?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. On line 32, it has a similar entry but it says jrtashjian,
- 8 J-R-T-A-S-H-J-I-A-N. Do you recognize that?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 **Q.** And what is that name?
- 11 **A.** That is another Automattic employee user ID or username.
- 12 **Q.** And that comes from the Automattic records?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 Q. Is that also true for the entry on July 18, 2013, where it
- 15 | says George Stephanis, S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-S?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now, continuing there is an entry that says vimeo
- 18 | chinabig01@gmail.com. What does that reflect?
- 19 **A.** That reflects the IP addresses contained in the previously
- 20 | talked about Vimeo records for the chinabig01@gmail.com
- 21 | account, and you will see there are 16 logins from that IP
- 22 | address from that Vimeo account on that day.
- 23 | Q. And the next line, line 36, shows Google
- 24 | chinabig01@gmail.com. What does that mean?
- 25 **A.** That would be logins to the Google account for

- 1 | chinabig01@gmail.com, which would be four for that day.
- 2 Q. And then continuing, there are additional entries
- 3 | reflecting the user names you previously discussed for
- 4 | Automattic employees also on July 18th and July 19th of 2013;
- 5 | is that right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 **Q.** Now, we can look at the tab that says .68.
- 8 And this has the same columns as the previous tab;
- 9 | correct?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Let's look at lines 37 to 44. On line 37, we see an entry
- 12 | for July 29th, 2013, from an IP address that ends in .68. And
- 13 | all of the entries on this page have that same IP address;
- 14 right?
- 15 **A.** That's correct.
- 16 \ Q. That says Automattic log file. What does that reflect?
- 17 | A. That IP address was found in an Automattic log file that
- 18 | was provided to me.
- 19 Q. And then continuing to line 38 on July 29th, 2013, it says
- 20 Automattic pyhhak -- P-Y-H-H-A-K -- personal-SSH log. What
- 21 does that reflect?
- 22 | A. That reflects a login into that username pyhhak, who was
- 23 | also an Automattic employee, their account.
- 24 \ Q. And there is an identical entry again on July 29th. And
- 25 then the next line is line 40. There is an entry that says

- 1 Google chinabig01@gmail.com. What does that show?
- 2 A. That shows a login from the Google account for
- 3 | chinabig01@gmail.com from that IP address on that day.
- 4 | Q. The same day as the login to the Automattic employee's --
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Let's move to the next tab, 54.
- 7 **THE COURT:** We are going to have to break in about 30 or 40 seconds. It is almost 2:00 o'clock.
- 9 MS. KANE: All right, Your Honor, would you like me to
 10 ask a couple more questions?
- 11 **THE COURT:** If you can finish the subject in two more 12 questions, sure.
- 13 BY MS. KANE:
- 14 Q. Well, here, we have the same column; is that right.
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. If we look at line 28, there is an entry for July 13th,
- 17 | 2013, from an IP address that ends in .54. And it says
- 18 | Google-chinabig01@gmail.com; is that right?
- 19 **A.** That's correct.
- 20 **Q.** And that comes from the Google logs that you discussed
- 21 earlier?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- 23 **Q.** And then continuing July 14th, 2013, there are a number of
- 24 | entries for Automattic log files. What does that show?
- 25 | A. That shows that IP being used and captured in an

Automattic log file.

- Q. So that's the same IP address logging into the Google chinabig01@gmail.com account according to the Google records and then logging into the Automattic system according to the Automattic records?
 - A. That's correct.

MS. KANE: There is one more tab, Your Honor. Would you like me to continue or should we stop?

THE COURT: No. It is 2:00 o'clock. It is time for the jury to be let go. We will pick it up there in the morning.

I want to let the jury know a couple of things. Miss -just a minute -- don't tell me -- Ms. Woodrow, you asked about
what time the jury could come when you begin deliberating. And
it's slightly complicated, but I will give you the short
version. It is 7:00 a.m.

I need to work with the lawyers to make sure and see how early everyone can get here; but tentatively I'm going to say 7:00 a.m., but everyone -- all jurors have to be present whenever you begin deliberating. You can't start deliberating with 6 or 7 of you here. It has to be everybody. But we are not there yet.

I had thought we might be close to finishing the evidence today. I don't think so. How much more do you have with this witness?

```
Your Honor, I have a couple more topics to
 1
              MS. KANE:
     cover with him.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         Is it more than an hour?
 3
              MS. KANE: Maybe a little more.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                         How much cross-examination do you think?
              MR. GASNER: Your Honor, I'm not positive but I would
 6
     say somewhere between 60 and 120 minutes.
 7
              THE COURT: Did you say 60 to 120? You mean like one
 8
     to two hours; right?
 9
              MR. GASNER: Yes, sir.
10
                          Well, there is a chance then we will have
11
              THE COURT:
     the closing arguments tomorrow and the case would go to you.
12
     I'm not going to let the lawyers talk me into further delays on
13
     the closing arguments. So we will start with those as soon as
14
15
     we can. And -- so the case might go to you tomorrow for
16
     decision. But if not, I'm pretty sure it will go on Thursday.
17
          All right. Now, remember the admonition. No talking
     about the case with anybody. It will be your duty to talk
18
     about it when you begin to deliberate but not yet.
19
          Hold your horses. I will see you back here tomorrow as
20
     early as say 8:30, please. Thank you.
21
22
          (Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:)
23
              THE COURT: Have a seat. I have a 2:00 o'clock
     criminal calendar that I'm already late for.
24
25
          But I want to ask you the question, Ms. Kane, on that last
```

jail call, I don't see any probative value for you in that --and I did see some prejudice for you laying that before the
jury on the last jail call where he is asking for girl
magazines and computer magazines.

There is a little bit of talk about the new iPhone and how the guards won't let him do this; the guards won't let him do that. Why did you possibly want that in evidence other than to prejudice the Defendant?

MS. KANE: Your Honor, there was a consistent theme throughout the calls -- that was demonstrated across several calls of the Defendant's interest in high-tech topics and computers, and that was the relevance of that call.

THE COURT: All right. So --

MS. KANE: We provided --

THE COURT: That would then point the finger at guilt at about a billion people on earth who happen to be interested in high-tech and computers -- and for that matter -- girls.

And to me if that's evidence of guilt, then God help us in this country. That's terrible.

That -- and the one that you had where he said "I hacked 24/7," okay. That was good evidence. I'm not saying that he was guilty, but that's a propensity to hack. That's fine. No problem there. But the last one I feel is so far -- so lacking in any probative value and so obviously prejudicial that you should not have used it. That's my opinion.

I'm not -- I'm leaving it in evidence. I think it is going to backfire on you. This jury is going to say what kind of case does the Government have if that's what they have to resort to. So you may wind up losing this case because of stunts like that.

That's my opinion.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, we did provide these translations and recording to the Defense and notified them of the clips that we intended to use, and there was no objection to the validity of the translation or the clips.

MS. NECHAY: Your Honor, I would just like to briefly respond to that. We did challenge the relevance to the Government, but it is not our decision how they proceed with their case but that issue was raised.

THE COURT: Okay. We got to have a charging conference tomorrow. We are going to start -- you are not going to talk me into putting these closings off until Thursday. So be ready to do your closings tomorrow on both sides. We may not finish them. We may have to stop mid-stream, but we have a fighting chance to get a verdict this week; and I'm not giving that up if there is any substantial time left on the clock tomorrow.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, we --

THE COURT: Wait. Are you going to argue with me on this? You wanted to be able to do your closings on Wednesday

1 and I gave you that. MR. GASNER: You did, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: I'm not giving you Thursday. 3 I'm not arguing that. I'm arguing to 4 MR. GASNER: 5 readdress that issue tomorrow afternoon because what I do not 6 want to occur -- I will be prepared to go tomorrow, I promise. 7 What I do not want to see is where the Government goes first. I go second. We run out of time. And then we move to Thursday 8 anyway for rebuttal. 9 I would prefer to see what the timing looks like when we 10 11 get there. And I just want to address that concern. otherwise will be ready to go. Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Well, I will let you address that point if 13 that scenario unfolds. Let me ask you a different question. 14 15 How much do you-all think you need for closing argument here, 16 counting rebuttal? MS. WAWRZYNIAK: I would estimate, Your Honor, two 17 hours for the Government if the -- for further argument, plus 18 rebuttal. 19 MR. GASNER: I have been known to underestimate, 20 Your Honor, on this question; but I don't think I will go over 21 22 I don't intend on -- given the amount of witnesses we an hour. 23 have had in this case, which actually has not been that many, I don't suspect I will go more than an hour. Probably less. 24

Okay. I'm probably going to let the

25

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Government have a total of two hours because -- because I must
say, I think the evidence has come in a haphazard, hard to
follow way; and maybe you can make some sense out of it in the
closing argument.
     I see -- I don't see much evidence -- I see that there was
intrusions. I don't see a lot of evidence that this particular
Defendant is the one that did it, though, maybe the scales will
fall from my eyes when I hear your brilliant summation.
     I don't know. So I'm -- I think you need the time.
going to give you two hours, but that includes your rebuttal
time.
      So don't -- you know, you need to save some time for
your rebuttal.
            I will see you at 8:30 in the morning unless you --
if you send me an e-mail that you need me sooner, I quess I
could try to be here sooner. But you said 8:00 o'clock this
morning. I was here at 8:00 o'clock but nobody was here.
        MR. GASNER: No, Your Honor. We were here.
         THE COURT:
                    We came down here. No one was here.
        MR. GASNER: I was sitting outside.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                            Well --
        MR. GASNER: Outside of the courtroom.
                    Next time I'm telling my law clerks to use
         THE COURT:
their X-ray vision and to look through the wall to see if they
were there.
```

MARSHAL: I saw them here.

```
We got a witness, and we were chatting
 1
              MR. GASNER:
    with your friendly porter as well about his cleaning.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. All right. All right.
 3
              MR. GASNER: Your Honor, can we just get a timing on
 4
 5
     when we should expect to do this charging conference?
 6
              THE COURT: 8:30 in the morning.
 7
              MR. GASNER: First thing?
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 8
              MS. KANE:
                         We did submit joint instructions.
 9
              THE COURT: What I submitted to you is very close to
10
11
     what you submitted to me with some of my normal add-ons.
              MS. KANE: I was just going to say there was nothing
12
     that came up with the Government in the course of trial -- we
13
     don't have any additional instructions to add.
14
              THE COURT: I want to -- yes, I think that's correct.
15
16
     However, do we need a 404(b) instruction, for example?
17
     about that. All right.
18
              MR. GASNER: All right.
              THE COURT: See you tomorrow.
19
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
20
              MS. KANE:
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 2:07 p.m.)
21
22
                                ---000---
23
24
25
```

1 2 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct 3 transcript, to the best of my ability, of the official 4 5 electronic sound recording provided to me by the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, of the proceedings 6 taken on the date and time previously stated in the 7 above-entitled matter. 8 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related 9 to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which 10 this proceeding was taken; and, further, that I am not 11 financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the 12 action. 13 14 15 Tuesday, July 7, 2020 DATE: 16 17 Marla Krox 18 19 20 Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR United States Court Reporter 21 22 23 24